Ambient air PCDD/Fs and PCBs determination according to UNI EN 1948-1-3-4: uncertainty and instrumental control limits for preliminary evaluation parameters such as flow velocity, water vapor, oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations

Bonelli MG¹, Baldassini M², Bianchi S², Bondielli S², Carmignani M², Croce G², Dell'Unto E², Di Baia A², Galeotta E², Gambuti R², Lazzari M², Ferri F², Machetti D², Manciocchi T², Malentacca F², Mannelli F², Manni A³, Ninci S², Porta C², Sarrini D², Schiavi A², Spinelli F², Vatteroni M²

¹ Dept. ICMA, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Via Eudossiana 18, Rome, Italy, 00198

² ARPAT, Environmental Protection Agency of Tuscany, Via N. Porpora, 22 Florence Italy 50144

³ Chemical Research 2000 Srl, Via Santa Margherita di Belice 16, Rome, Italy, 00133

Introduction

According to UNI EN 1948-1¹ method, the characterization of the gaseous effluent must be carried out prior to sampling. In particular is necessary to measure velocity and volume flow², water vapour³, temperature, oxygen⁴ and carbon dioxide⁵. Those parameters need to be kept under control during all the phases of the sampling, in order to evaluate both the system abnormalities and the final calculations. The aim of this study is to determine the uncertainty of the parameters mentioned above and establish values for parallel measurements in order to verify the performance of the used equipment and to avoid redundant controls.

Materials and methods

The determination of the above parameters was carried out according to the following methods: the velocity of the gaseous stream was determined, in accordance with UNI EN 169112, using pitot tubes, suction pumps (Tecora, Corsico, Milano, Italy, and Mega System, Bareggio, Milano, Italy) and flow tests (Testo,Nova Milanese, Milano, Italy). The water vapour in the ducts was carried out according to UNI EN 14790:2006 (Gravimetric method); the gas flow is passed for about 1 hour through an accurately weighed amount of dried silica gel in a stove at 140 °C. The absorbed water vapour was determined by difference with the dried silica gel measured over a certified field balance (Mettler Toledo, Nova Milanese, Milano, Italy)

The oxygen concentration (v/v) in the fumes was carried out according to UNI EN 14789:2006, which provides the needed instructions for the use of paramagnetism as a measurement technique. It was performed with instruments from two different.

The carbon dioxide was determined according to UNI EN ISO 12039:2001, which leverages the usage of the infrared spectrophotometry; Smoke analyzers were provided from two different suppliers (Horiba – STA, - Sedriano, Milano, Italy and Mega System, Bareggio, Milano, Italy)

The intercalibration organized by ARPAT for two consecutive years was made possible thanks to the availability of the COLACEM plant, a cement plant in the municipality of Rassina in the province of Arezzo (Italy). At this plant a large enough platform is available; it allows 5 teams (about 15 operators) to connect contemporary up to 5 pickup nozzles and perform the tests with the necessary space to allocate all the equipment. Instead, routinely sampling is performed in double by each single team at incinerators and other industrial facilities in agreement with certified internal ARPAT's procedures. In addition, simulated sampling tests were conducted at a pilot plant in Milan (Italy). All the instruments used have been calibrated and monitored constantly, even in the field, with the use of certified reference gases (SIAD, Milano, Italy).

The ISO 5725-2:1994⁶ method for calculation of the internal variability assumes the use of a reference material.

In emission sampling the flue gas concentration is time dependent and cannot be assumed to be constant. Therefore in EN 1948-3:1996 the calculation approach used is:

$$s = ((\Sigma X_{i1} X_{i2})/2n)^{1/2}$$
 (Eq.1)

where \underline{s} is standard deviation, \underline{n} is number of confirmed test, \underline{X} is the measurement result. This same mode of calculation has also been extended to the parameters covered by this study.

Results and discussion

Results and discussion									
	Parameter	VELOCITY							
	Method:			onary Source Emission					
		Manual And Automatic Determination Of Velocity And Volume Flow Rate In Ducts							
							(insertre valori)	(U.d.N.)	
	Repeatability:	Preliminary determination of velocity in dioxins and PCBs dioxin-like analysis (UNI EN 1							
		Coupled type of paired values (s) 0.382							
		Coupled type of	paired values (s)	0,382	m/s				
		L							
		Repeatability lim	it (t=2)	txSxRADQ(2)			1,080	m/s	
		Number of the state							
		Number or tests	carried out (n)				8		
Fig. 1 VELOCITY OF THE		CV %					2,10%		
		CV %							
GASEOS STREAM		Average of valves obtained in the tests performed					18,20	m/s	
GIBEOS STIELINI		incluge of the	inco obtained in an	e tests perioritieu			10,20		
		Extended up	containty expres	sed as a percentag	a (t-2)		1.000		
		LAtended un	icertainty expres	iseu as a percentag	c (l-z)		4,20%		
								Difference	
		Data	Industrial -	lant Notes				Differenza	
	N*	Data	Industrial p	iam Notes	on equipment	m/s	m/s	(range)	
		1				1		(X,-X2)	
						×,	X 2	R	
	1	14-mar-16	GDA		aG4Pompa G4-PompaG4	13,6	14,4	0,80	
	2	9-nov-16	CIS LINEA 3		ize / Massa	17,30	16,30	1,00	
	3	7-mar-17	CIS LINEA 1		WTEST-G4	18,70	18,80	0,10	
	4	4-apr-17	GIDA		WTEST-G4	14,7	14,8	0,10	
	5	10-apr-17	Forno a Fusione SSV	G4 IT7816 e Flow	/Test IT 6587	18,9	19,1	0,24	
	6	10-apr-17	Forno a Fusione SSV	G4 IT7816 e Flow	/Test IT 6587	22,0	22,3	0,25	
	7	10-apr-17	Forno a Fusione SSV	G4 IT7816 e Flow	Test IT 6587	18,7	19,0	0,35	
	8	10-apr-17	Forno a Fusione SSV	G4 IT7816 e Flow	Test IT 6587	21,7	21,0	0,66	
	Parameter	WATER VAPOUR	2						
	Method:	UNI EN 14790:2006 Stationary Source Emissions - Determination Of The Water Vapour In Ducts							
			Destinations dataset	-Kan of the Mater Manager	(men)	• valori)	(U.4.M.) analysis (UNI EN 1948-1-3-4)		
	Repeatability:		Preliminary determina	ation of the water vapour	in dioxins and PCBs	dioxin-like ana			
		Coupled type of paired values (s) 0,326					S VN		
		Repeatability limit	(t=2)	txSxRADQ(2)		0,922	% V/V	I	
		Number of tests o	amind out (n)		_	15		I	
FIG 2 - WATER VAPOUR content		Number of tests c	ameu out (n)					I	
		cv % 2.63% Average of valves obtained in the tests performed 12,11 Extended uncertainty expressed as a percentage (t=2) 5.38%							
		Extended uncertainty expressed as a percentage (t=z)							
	N*	Data I	advatrial closet	Natas an emiliana d	% V/V		Ifferenza		
	N ⁻	Jata I	ndustrial plant	Notes on equipment	76 V/V		(range) (range) X,-X,) (X,-X,		
					X.	x. ľ	R, R, R, P) ² singole	
	1	1-ott-16 GEOFO	R	AVL / AVC	12,32	12,76	0,44 0,19	3,50877193	
	2	1-ott-16 GEOFC		AVL / AVC	13,87	13,98	0,11 0,01	0,78994614	
	3	1-ott-16 GEOFO		AVL / AVC	14,62	14,76	0,14 0,02	0,953029272	
	4	1-feb-16 VARI		blanchi Ninci	18,9	18,2	0,70 0,49	3,773584906	
	5	7-mar-16 VARI 14-mar-16 VARI		bimachi Blanchi-ninci	13,60 16,50	14,50 15,80	0,90 0,81 0,70 0,49	6,40569395 4,334365325	
	7	14-mar-16 VARI 12-mag-16 VARI		blanchi-ninci blanchi Ninci	9,30	9,60	0,70 0,49	4,334365325 3,174603175	
	8	3-ago-16 VARI		Blanchi del' uto	8,2	8,9	0,70 0,49	8,187134503	
	9	9-nov-16 VARI		Nincl	16,10	15,80	0,30 0,09	1,880877743	
	10	10-apr-17 Fomo a	Fusione SSV	AVC/AVL	9,30	9,10	0,20 0,04	2,173913043	
	11	10-apr-17 Fomo a		AVC/AVL AVC/AVL	9,60 9,80	9,50	0,10 0,01	2,06185567	
	12	10-apr-17 Fomo a 10-apr-17 Fomo a	Fusione SSV	AVC/AVL AVC/AVL	10,00	9,60	0,30 0,04	3,045685279	
	14	10-apr-17 Fomo a		AVC/AVL	9,60	9,20	0,40 0,16	4,255319149	
	15	10-apr-17 Fomo a		AVC/AVL	10,30	9,90	0,40 0,16	3.96039604	

	OXYGEN										
Method:	UNI EN 14789:2006 Stationary Source Emissions - Determination Of Volume Concentration Of Oxygen (o[2])										
	- Reference	e Method – Paramagnetism									
					(inserire valori)	(U.d.M.)					
Repeatability:		Preliminary de	termination of oxygen in dio	ins and PCBs	dioxin-like analys	sis (UNI EN 1	1948-1-3-4)				
	Coupled ty	pe of paired values (s)			0,145	% 02					
	Repeatabi	lity limit (t=2)	t x S x RADQ(2)		0,409	% 02					
	Number of	tests carried out (n)		10	1						
	CV %				1,10%	1					
	Average of valves obtained in the tests performed					% 02					
	Extended uncertainty expressed as a percentage (t=2) 2,20%										
	Extende	d uncertainty express	sed as a percentage (t=2)	2,20%						
	Extende	d uncertainty express	sed as a percentage (t=2)	2,20%						
	Extende	d uncertainty express	sed as a percentage (t=2)	2,20%	Differenza					
N*						Differenza (range)	(range)3	Dalta 6			
N"	Data	d uncertainty express	sed as a percentage (1	t= 2) % 02	2,20% % O2	(range)	(range) ² (X X-) ²	Delta %			
N							(range) ² (X , - X ₂) ² R, ²	Delta % singole misure			
N*				% 02	% O2	(range) (X , - X ₂)	(X,-X ₂) ²	singole misure			
	Data	Industrial plant	Notes on equipment	% 02 X ,	% 02 X ₂	(range) (X,-X ₂) R,	(X,-X ₂) ² R ₁ ²	singole misure 0,486618			
1	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16	Industrial plant	Notes on equipment	% 02 X , 14,42	% 02 X ₂ 14,35	(range) (X ₁ - X ₂) R ₁ 0,07	(X,-X)* R,* 0,00	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829			
1 2	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16	Industrial plant CHIMET chimet	Notes on equipment Cell./Paramagnatico Cell./Paramagnatico	% 02 X ₁ 14,42 12,48	% O2 X ₂ 14,35 12,12	(range) (X ₁ - X ₂) R ₁ 0,07 0,36	(X ₁ -X ₂) ² R ₁ ² 0,00 0,13	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829 1,62601			
1 2 3	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16 10-nov-16	Industrial plant CHIMET Chimet Chis LINEA 3 (VIRENZEMASSA)	Notes on equipment Cell./Paramagnatico Cell./Paramagnatico Parama/Parama	% O2 X , 14,42 12,48 12,40	% O2 X ₂ 14,35 12,12 12,20	(range) (X,-X ₂) R, 0,07 0,36 0,20	(X,-X) ² R, ² 0,00 0,13 0,04	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829 1,62601 1,587301			
1 2 3 4	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16 10-nov-16 7-mar-17	Industrial plant CHIMET Chimet 3 (JIERNZEMAGA) CIS LINEA 3	Notes on equipment Cell. (Paramagnatico Cell. /Paramagnatico Parama Parama Cell. Paramagnatico	% 02 X, 14,42 12,48 12,40 12,70	% O2 X ₂ 14,35 12,12 12,20 12,50	(range) (X, -X ₂) R, 0,07 0,36 0,20 0,20	(X ₁ -X ₂) ² R ₁ ² 0,00 0,13 0,04 0,04	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829 1,62601 1,587301 1,739130			
1 2 3 4 5	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16 10-nov-16 7-mar-17 5-apr-17	Industrial plant CHIMET Chimet CIG LINEA 3 (VIRENZEMARSA) CIG LINEA 3 CIG LINEA 3	Notes on equipment Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo	% 02 X , 14,42 12,48 12,40 12,70 11,4	% O2 X ₂ 14,35 12,12 12,20 12,50 11,6	(range) (X ₁ -X ₂) R ₁ 0,07 0,36 0,20 0,20 0,20	(X ₁ -X ₂) ² R ₁ ² 0,00 0,13 0,04 0,04 0,04	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829 1,62601 1,587301 1,739130 2,836879			
1 2 3 4 5 6	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16 10-nov-16 7-mar-17 5-apr-17 10-apr-17	Industrial plant CHIMET Chimet CIO LINEA 3 CIO LINEA 3 CIO LINEA 3 CIO LINEA 3 CIO LINEA 1 CIO LINEA 1	Notes on equipment Cell./Paramagnatico Cell./Paramagnatico Parama/Parama Cell./Paramagnatico Cell./Paramagnatico Cell./Paramagnatico	% O2 X , 14,42 12,48 12,40 12,70 11,4 13,90	% O2 X ₂ 14,35 12,12 12,20 12,50 11,6 11,30	(range) (X,-X ₂) R ₁ 0,07 0,36 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,40	(X ₁ - X ₂) ² R ₁ ² 0,00 0,13 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,16	singole misure 0,486618 2,926829 1,62601 1,587301 1,739130 2,836879 0,287406			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Data 4-ott-16 5-ott-16 10-nov-16 7-mar-17 5-apr-17 10-apr-17 10-apr-17	Industrial plant CHIMET chimet CI3 LINEA 3 (VIRENZEMASSA) CI3 LINEA 3 CI3 LINEA 1 GIDA SIDA Formo a Pusione SBV	Notes on equipment Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo Cell. /Paramagnatoo AVO (17599/AVL (17309	% O2 X , 14,42 12,48 12,40 12,70 11,4 13,50 13,62	% O2 X ₃ 14,35 12,12 12,20 12,50 11,5 14,30 13,58	(range) (X,-X ₂) R ₁ 0,07 0,36 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,20 0,40	(X ₁ -X ₂) ² R ₁ ² 0,00 0,13 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,04 0,16 0,00	singole			

FIG. 3 OXYGEN concentration

Parameter	CARBON DIOXIDE								
Method:	ISO 12039:2001 Stationary source emissions Determination of carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxygen - - Performance characteristics and calibration of automated measuring systems								
	1				(inserire valori)	(M.b.U)			
Repeatability:	Preliminary determination of carbon dioxide in dioxins and PCBs dioxin-like analysis (UNI EN 1948-1-3-4)								
	Coupled ty	pe of paired values (s)	0,044	% V/V					
	Repeatability limit (t=2) t x S x RADQ(2)				0,125	% V/V			
	Number of	tests carried out (n)	5						
	CV % 0.58%								
	Average o	of valves obtained in the	7,62	% V/V					
	Extended uncertainty expressed as a percentage (t=2)								
N*	Data	Industrial plant	Notes on equipment	% V/V	% V/V	Differenza (range) (X, - X,)	(range)* (X , - X,)*	Delta % singole	
				×.	х.	R (R,2	misure	
1	11-mag-16	vari	Blanchi Di Bala	9,4	9,32	0.08	0,01	0.8547008	
2	11-mag-16		Blanchi Di Bala	9,26	9,25	0.01	0.00	0,1080497	
3	11-mag-16	vari	Blanchi Di Bala	3,87	3,89	0,02	0,00	0.5154639	
4	11-mag-16	vari	Blanchi Di Bala	5,70	5,65	0,05	0,00	0,8810572	

Fig. 4 CARBON DIOXIDE concentration

The following data are the result of the work performed by ARPAT for the purpose of accreditation of the UNI EN 1948-1-2-3-4 method. It has been performed by five sampling teams in working in different Tuscany's provinces. Multiple measurements were carried out in parallel for each test. Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 show the values obtained in each individual test

The uncertainty was calculated as:

Ue (%) = 2 * CV% (Eq.2)

Established values for parallel measurements were calculated as 2,8 S (Eq.3)

The results were the following:

• <u>Velocity</u>: the analyzed speeds ranged from 13 to 23 m/s. The extended uncertainty evaluated with a t = 2 is 4,2%, while the acceptable limit for two parallel devices is 1 m / s.

• <u>Water Vapour</u>: the humidity analyzed ranged from 8 to 20% v/v. The extended uncertainty evaluated with a t = 2 is 5,4%, while the acceptable limit for two parallel devices is 0.9% v/v.

• <u>Oxygen</u>: the oxygen values obtained ranged from 11 to 14.5% V / V. The extended uncertainty assessed with a t = 2 is 2,2%, while the acceptable limit for two parallel devices is 0.4% v/v.

• <u>Carbon Dioxide</u>: the carbon dioxide values obtained ranged from 3,7 to 10 % v/v. The extended uncertainty assessed with a t = 2 is 1,2%, while the acceptable limit for two parallel devices is 0.12 % v/v.

It is more appropriate to express the uncertainty in percentage form and the range of acceptability for the parallel tests in absolute form; moreover, according to VDI 4219 (2009)⁷, is appropriate to consider as negligible any contribution to the uncertainty being less than 5%.

Finally, after the annual calibration it may be sufficient to perform a sampling in parallel (e.g. after 6 months) to check the performance of the equipment.

References

- 1. UNI EN 1948:1996 Stationary source emissions Determination of the mass concentration of PCDDs/PCDFs and dioxin-like PCBs
- 2. UNI EN ISO 16911-1:2013 Stationary Source Emissions Manual And Automatic Determination Of Velocity And Volume Flow Rate In Ducts Part 1: Manual Reference Method.
- 3. UNI EN 14790:2006 Stationary Source Emissions Determination Of The Water Vapour In Ducts.
- 4. UNI EN 14789:2006 Stationary Source Emissions Determination Of Volume Concentration Of Oxygen Reference Method Paramagnetism.
- 5. ISO 12039:2001 Stationary source emissions --Determination of carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and oxygen -- Performance characteristics and calibration of automated measuring systems.
- 6. ISO 5725-2:1994 Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement method and result
- 7. VDI 4219 "Determination of the uncertainty of emission measurement by use of discontinuous measurement methods" (2009).