## INFLUENCE OF WASTE INSULATING OIL CONTAINING PCBs FED INTO AN INCINERATOR ON PCDDs/Fs AND PCBs CONCENTRATIONS EMITTED FROM THE INCINERATORS

### Song G-J<sup>1\*</sup>, Jung H-Y<sup>2</sup>, Kim K-H<sup>2</sup>, Seo Y-C<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Environmental and Energy Technology, POSTECH, Pohang, Kyoung-buk, South Korea; <sup>2</sup> National Institute of Environmental Research, Incheon, South Korea; <sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, Yonsei UniversitySouth Korea

#### Introduction

Since 1929, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)have been produced in the United States, Germany, France, Russia and Japan and widely used in industrial and consumer applications in the world. In the 1970s, the commercial use of PCBs was prohibited and the capacitors and transformers containing PCBs were produced no longer. PCBs are among the twelve Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) addressed by the Stockholm Convention.Obligations under the Convention for Parties are to eliminate the use of PCBs in transformers and capacitors by 2025 and adopt environmentally sound management of PCBs-containing waste by 2028. Therefore, it is important to figureout adequate management and control methodsto treat PCBs-containing waste. Recently, concernsover the management or treatment for products and waste containing PCBs have risen all over the world. In Korea, waste containing PCBs of above 2ppm can be incinerated in high temperature incineratorsby Waste Management Law, but it has not been carried out until now because of public opposition and concerns. To solve the problem, many investigations have been carried out for years to find out other treatmentmethods, and washingand chemical treatment have been permitted by the POPs Management Law, since 2008. However, thecapacity of washing and chemicaltreatment methods is not enough to treat waste insulating oil containing PCBs and PCBs contaminated transformers generated in Korea. Therefore, the co-incineration of hazardous wastes and waste insulating oilcontaining PCBs using the high-temperature incinerators for hazardous waste containing PCBs was investigated in Korea. In this study, the experiment was carried out in 3 test conditionsusing 2 different hazardous waste high temperature incinerators (HWIs) and PCDDs/Fsand PCBs concentrations of flue gases were measured in order to investigate the influence of waste insulating oil containing PCBsfed into the incinerators on concentrations of PCDDs/Fs and PCBs emittedfrom the incinerator.

#### Material and methods

#### (1)Type of incinerators and co-incinerated hazardous waste with waste insulating oil

The 2high-temperature HWIs(noted as incinerator A and B)were used in this study. The incineration capacities were 1.27 ton/h (incinerator A) and 3.54 ton/h (incinerator B), and the type of theincinerators was rotary kiln + stoker. Their incineration temperatureswere 1123-1231 °C and 1095-1132 °C for incinerators A and B, respectively. The flue gas temperatures after waste heat boiler (WHB) were 253-272 °C and 361-393 °C. Incinerator A had WHB, semi-dry reactor (SDR), dry reactor (DR), bag filter (BF) and incinerator B had WHB, cooling tower (CT), BF, wet scrubber(WS)(I) and WS(II) as air pollution control devices (APCDs), and also the incinerators hadselective non catalytic reduction (SNCR). The types of co-incinerated hazardous waste with waste insulating oil containing PCBs were waste synthetic resin, waste polymer, waste paint, waste organic solvent and sludge. The co-incineration rate of hazardous waste and waste insulating oil containing PCBs wasabout 10-20wt%. The hazardouswastefed into the incinerators was composed of mostly carbon and oxygen, and the waste insulating oil containing PCBs fed into the incinerators was composed of carbon and hydrogen. The carbon can form the graphitic layer on black carbon in the furnace, which can form the aromatic compounds in the post-combustion zone. In or after the post-combustion zone the aromatic compounds can react with oxygen and hydrogeninto dioxin or furan. The low-heating value (LHV) of waste fed into incinerator A was 5276-5834 kcal/kg but the LHV of waste fed into incinerator B was 3451-3783 kcal/kg (solid waste) and 3051-4899 kcal/kg (liquid waste). The LHV and sort of hazardous waste fed into incinerator B was lower than that of waste fed into incinerator A. The LHV of waste insulating oil fed into the incineratorswas 10331-10985 kcal/kg. The LHV of waste insulating oil was above 2 times more than that of hazardous waste. The heating value can affects incineration conditions.

#### (2)Test condition(TC) and PCBs concentration in waste insulating oil

Three different conditions were test in this study. In the first condition only hazardous waste was incinerated, marked as TC0. In the second and third conditions hazardous waste were co-incinerated with waste insulating oil containing PCBs. The PCBs concentration of waste insulation oil fed into an incinerator were under 50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (marked as TC1)andabove 150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (marked as TC2).In each test condition the PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of the flue gasesemitted from incinerationswere measured to investigate the influence of PCBs during incineration of waste insulating PCBs.

#### (3) Sampling and analysis methods

The flue gases weresampled and analyzed according toES10905.1, ES10908.1and ES10909.1 in the Korean Standard Testing Method (KSTM) for the POPs.The flue gases were taken three times in each point and analyzed separately. To analyze PCDDs/Fs, 2ng of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCDDs/Fs(15 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCDDs/Fs) was added to the samples as internal standards prior to clean-up. The dichloromethane extract was cleaned up withsulfuric acid, followed by the multi-silica gel column (10-mm ID) cleanup eluting 150 ml of n-hexane, and the alumina column (10-mm ID) cleanup eluting 150 ml of n-hexane (this portion was discarded) and 150 ml of 50 % dichloromethane in n-hexane. The final eluatewas concentrated to a volume of 1 ml, and after spiking of recovery standards (2 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCDDs/Fs) further concentrated to about 25µl. The concentrated eluatewas separately analyzed by highresolution gas chromatograph/high resolution mass spectrometer(HRGC/HRMS)for PCDDs/Fs. The columnequipped on HRGC was SP-2331, which has high polarity. To analyze PCBs, 2ng of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs as internal standards (27 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs), was added to the samples prior to clean-up. The dichloromethane extract was cleaned up withsulfuric acid, followed by the multi-silica gel column (10-mm ID) cleanup eluting 150 ml of n-hexane. The final eluatewas concentrated to a volume of 1 ml, and after spiking of recovery standards (27 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs), was added to the samples prior to clean-up. The dichloromethane extract was cleaned up withsulfuric acid, followed by the multi-silica gel column (10-mm ID) cleanup eluting 150 ml of n-hexane. The final eluatewas concentrated to a volume of 1 ml, and after spiking of recovery standards (5 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs) further concentrated to a volume of 1 ml, and after spiking of recovery standards (5 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs) further concentrated to a solume of 1 ml, and after spiking of recovery standards (5 kinds of  ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -PCBs) further concentrate

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### (1)PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of flue gases in tested incinerators

For incinerator A, PCDDs/Fs concentrations from post-combustion, WHB, SDR and the stack were 16.654-182.297-508.811, 29.863-304.401 and 26.153-32.897ng/Sm<sup>3</sup>, 44.861, respectively, and **PCBs** concentrationsfrom post-combustion, WHB, SDR and the stack were 76.301-88.231, 252.838-352.274, 49.964-301.751 and 6.799-13.208 ng/Sm<sup>3</sup>, respectively.Generally, it is known that PCDDs/Fs and PCBs are destroyed in furnace, but formed in the cooling system and removed in APCDsin a waste incinerator. PCDDs/Fs and PCBs in incinerator is thought to be formed mostly by re-synthesis(called *de novo* synthesis), known to occur in the temperature window between  $250^{\circ}$ C and  $400^{\circ}$ C on the surface of fly ash in the post-combustion or cooling zones. The temperature in WHB of this incinerator, which was under  $641^{\circ}$ C and above  $255^{\circ}$ C, was suitable to form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs.In post-combustion at the temperatureof617-641 °C, black carbon and aromatic compounds (such as phenol, benzene and biphenyl)could be formed and react with oxygen and hydrogeninto dioxin/furan and react with chlorine into PCDDs/Fsor PCBs.Although PCDDs/Fs and PCBs were removed by 86-95% and over 96%, respectively. PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations from the stack were high, because large amounts of PCDDs/Fs and PCBswere formed in WHB, and also it can beestimated that the BF installed in this incinerator was not good enough to remove PCDDs/Fs and PCBs. For incinerator B, PCDDs/Fs concentrations from post-combustion, WHB, CT, BF and the stack were 2.527-3.776, 4.193-17.840, 5.447-7.382, 0.190-0.295 and 0.273-0.370 ng/Sm<sup>3</sup>, respectively, and PCBs concentrations from post-combustion, WHB, CT, BF and the stack were 41.707-148.421, 27.869-527.331, 6.957-24.417, 6.643-18.952 and 1.697-4.676 ng/Sm<sup>3</sup>, respectively. PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations from post-combustion were lower than those from WHB or CT.After postcombustion, PCDDs/Fs and PCBs were formed in the cooling system such as WHB and CT. The temperature in WHB was a little high to form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs, and the temperature after CT was a little low to form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs. The suitable zone to form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs was after WHB and in CT. But the de *novo* synthesis could not occureasily in this zone, because the flue gasgoing into CT from WHB was rapidly cooled with spry waterin CT and the fly ash,going into CT from WHB comes down rapidly with spray water in CT. PCDDs/Fs concentrations from the stack were higher than those from BF because of WSs installed after BF. Generally, it is known that PCDDs/Fs were enriched in WS owing to memory effect. However, PCBs concentrations from the stack were lower than those from BF. It could be estimated that the memory effect in WSs was more effectiveworkin PCDDs/Fs than in PCBs.

# (2)Influence of waste insulating oil containing PCBsfed into the incinerators on PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations emitted from the incinerators

For incinerator A, the temperature of flue gases from post-combustion, WHB, SDR and the stack was not significantly changed according to the test conditions. However, PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of flue gases in TC0 were higher than those in TC1 or TC2. It could be guessed that the high heating value of waste insulating oil containing PCBs could make better combustion conditions to inhibit the factors (such as soot and aromatic compounds) that form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs in TC1 and TC2 than inTC0. The better combustion condition could form the incomplete combustion substances in furnace, which react with oxygen into dioxin/furan and react with chlorine into PCDDs/Fs or PCBs in post-combustion and WHB. Furthermore, the reduction of inhomogeneous hazardous waste fed into an incinerator could inhibitthe factors that produce PCDDs/Fs and PCBs. Through the ignition loss of bottom ashesthe combustion condition in furnace could be evaluated. The ignition loss of bottom ashes were 3.19-4.67 (3.92<sub>avg</sub>) w% in TC0, 2.31-3.10 (2.67<sub>avg</sub>) w% in TC1 and 1.27-2.07 (1.67<sub>ave</sub>) w%in TC2, respectively. Generally, it is known that if the waste is well combusted in the furnace, the ignition loss of bottom ash is lower. The ignition loss of bottom ashes in TC0 was higher than that in TC1 and TC2. It means that in TC1 and TC2 the combustion condition in furnace was better than at TC0. For the incinerator B, the temperature of flue gases from post-combustion, WHB, SDR and the stack was not significantly changed according to the test condition, like as incinerator A. PCDDs/Fs concentrations of flue gases did not show any trend according to the test conditions in this incinerator. PCDDs/Fs concentrations of flue gases from post-combustion, BF and the stack in TC1 were higher than those in TC0 or TC2. And PCDDs/Fs concentrations of flue gases from WHB and CT in TC0 were higher than that in TC1 or TC2. Like in incinerator A, the high heating value of waste insulating oil containing PCBs and the reduction of inhomogeneous hazardous waste fed into an incinerator couldinhibitthe factors that form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs. However, compared with incinerators A and B, those effects could not be more effective in incinerator B than in incinerator A, because the amount of waste insulating oil containing PCBs fed into the incinerator B was 50% of the amount of waste insulating oil containing PCBs fed into the incinerator A. Nevertheless, PCBs concentrations of flue gases in TC0 were higher than those in TC1 or TC2. It means that formation condition of PCDDs/Fs and PCBs should be a little different in this incinerator, unlike inincinerator A. Through the ignition loss of bottom ashesthe combustion condition in furnace could be estimated. The ignition loss of bottom ashes were 4.77-4.94 (4.85<sub>avg</sub>) w% in TC0, 2.06-2.74 (2.47<sub>avg</sub>) w% in TC1 and 3.04-3.79 (3.43<sub>avg</sub>) w% in TC2, respectively. The ignition loss of bottom ashes at TC0 was higher than that in TC1 and TC2. It means that in TC1 and TC2 the combustion condition in furnace was better than in TC0.

From the results, it could be known that the PCBs concentration in waste insulating oil fed into an incinerator affects not PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of flue gases from an incinerator and the waste insulating oil fed into an incinerator affects the incinerated waste and incineration condition in furnace, which can form the factors that form PCDDs/Fs and PCBs in post-combustion and cooling system.Generally, the PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations emitted from an incinerator can be dependent on types and amounts of incinerated waste, temperature conditions in the furnace and cooling system, and operating condition and configuration of APCDs.In this study, the PCDDs/Fs and PCBsconcentration of flue gasesformed in WHB were very differentaccording to the tested conditions, but the removal efficiency of APCDs for PCDDs/Fs and PCBs of flue gases in each incinerator were similar at all test conditions.

Therefore, it was estimated that PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of the flue gases in an incinerator wasdependent on the types and amounts of incinerated waste, and the types and amounts of incinerated waste could influent the incineration conditions of an incinerator, such as temperature conditions in the furnace and cooling system. Finally, the affected temperature conditions in furnace and cooling systemcould influence PCDDs/Fs and PCBs concentrations of flue gases in an incinerator.

#### References

1. Addink R. and Oile K.(1995); Environ. Sci. Technol. 29:1425

- 2. Blumenstock M, Zimmerman R, Schramm KW, Kettrup A.(2000); Chemosphere 40: 987-993
- 3. Buekens A, Huang H.(1998); J. of Hazardous Materials 62: 1-33
- 4. Choi KI, Lee DH, Osako M, Kim SC.(2007); Chemosphere 66, 1131-1137
- 5. Clyde ER. (2001); Waste Management 21: 465-470
- 6. Huang H, Buekens A.(1996); The Science of the Total Environment 193: 121-141
- 7. Hunsinger H, Jay K, Vehlow J.(2002); Chemosphere 46: 1263-1272
- 8. Ishikawa Y, Noma Y, Yamamoto T, Mori Y, Sakai S.(2007); Chemosphere 67: 1383-1393
- 9. Kim SC, Lee KC, Kim KH, Kwon MH, Song GJ.(2007); Waste Management 27: 1593-1602
- 10. Milligan MS, Altwicker E.(1995); Environ. Sci. Technol. 29: 1353-1358
- 11. Weber R.(2007); Chemosphere 67: 109-117
- 12. Öberg T, Warman K, Bergström J.(1989); Chemosphere 19: 317-322
- 13. Ministry of Environment of Korea. (2006); Waste Management Law.
- 14. Ministry of Environment of Korea. (2008); POPs Management Law.
- 15. Ministry of Environment of Korea. (2008); Korea Standard Test Method of POPs.