

PERSISTENT ORGANOCHLORINE POLLUTANTS: A RISK FACTOR FOR TYPE 2 DIABETES

Anna Rignell-Hydbom¹, Jonas Lidfeldt², Hannu Kiviranta³, Panu Rantakokko³, Göran Samsioe⁴, Carl-David Agardh², Lars Rylander¹

¹Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund University, University Hospital, SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden.

²Unit on Vascular Diabetic Complications, Department of Clinical Science, Lund University, University Hospital MAS, SE-205 02 Malmö, Sweden.

³National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Neulanan Research Centre FI-70701 Kuopio, FINLAND

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University Hospital Lund, SE-221 85 Lund, Sweden.

Abstract

Persistent organochlorine pollutants (POP), have in several cross-sectional studies shown strong associations with type 2 diabetes. Reversed causality can however not be excluded. The aim of this case-control study was to evaluate whether POP concentration is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. The study was performed within a cohort of women, 50-59 years, from Sweden. Biomarkers for POP exposure, 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (CB-153) and 1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis (*p*-chlorophenyl)-ethylene (*p,p'*-DDE) were analyzed in stored serum samples, which were collected at the baseline examination when the cohort was established. For 107 out of the 371 cases, serum samples were stored at least three years before their type 2 diabetes was diagnosed. CB-153 and *p,p'*-DDE were not associated with an increased risk to develop type 2 diabetes. However, when only the cases (n=39) that were diagnosed more than six years after the baseline examination and their controls were studied, the women in the highest exposed quartile showed an increased risk to develop type 2 diabetes (OR of 1.6 [95% 0.61, 4.0] for CB-153 and 5.5 [95% CI 1.2, 25] for *p,p'*-DDE). The results confirms that *p,p'*-DDE exposure can be a risk factor for type 2 diabetes. Thus, the importance of environmental pollutants must not be neglected.

Introduction

The incidence of type 2 diabetes is rapidly increasing world-wide [1]. The main factors identified as responsible for the disease are an aging population with a genetic predisposition for diabetes, change in lifestyle such as low physical activity, obesity and smoking. In addition, multiple reports corroborate the association between persistent organochlorine pollutants (POPs) such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB), dioxins and dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT) and type 2 diabetes. Surprisingly strong associations have been shown between serum concentrations of POPs and type 2 diabetes [2-13]. If these associations reflect a true effect of environmental exposures on the incidence of diabetes, then this is the largest public health effect observed for POPs so far. The majority of recent studies are cross-sectional however, and a direct cause has so far not been shown. The aim of the present case-control study, performed within a well-defined cohort of women from the Southern part of Sweden, was to elucidate to what extent POP exposure may have contributed to the development of type 2 diabetes.

Materials and methods

During 1995-2000 a generic survey based on a questionnaire, physical examinations, and laboratory assessments were completed on 6 917 women (corresponding to 64% participating rate) aged 50-59 years and living in the five municipalities in the Lund area, located in Southern Sweden (the Women's Health In the Lund Area cohort - WHILA) [14].

Women with the metabolic syndrome features (positive, n=3144) underwent a baseline Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT), one to four weeks later. By linkage with the Swedish in-patient and out-patient

52 registers, women from the WHILA cohort who had developed type 2 diabetes before 31 December 2006,
53 were identified. A randomly selected subgroup (n=221) of women without the metabolic syndrome
54 features, also underwent OGTT, and the results corroborated the very low prevalence of previously
55 unknown diabetes among women outside the group. Women with previously confirmed diabetes (n=139)
56 were excluded from further studies. In total, 410 women were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes after the
57 baseline examination. A case-control control study was performed within the WHILA cohort. Blood
58 samples were obtained from all participating women at the baseline examination and were stored at -70°C
59 until the present POP analyses were run.

60 *Cases*

61 Out of 410 incident diabetic women (cases) 39 were not eligible for the current study due to lack of serum
62 samples. Background characteristics for the remaining 371 cases are presented in Table 1. Fifty-six percent
63 were diagnosed with type 2 diabetes within one year after baseline examination.

64 *Controls*

65 For each case, one control was randomly selected from the WHILA cohort, matched for age, calendar-year,
66 body mass index (BMI), and according to positive or negative selection criteria for OGTT at the baseline
67 examination, i.e. presence or not of any features of the metabolic syndrome.

68 *Biomarkers of exposure*

69 In the present study 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (CB-153) and 1,1-dichloro-2,2-bis (*p*-chlorophenyl)-
70 ethylene (*p,p'*-DDE) have been used as biomarkers for POP exposure. The chemical analyses have recently
71 been described in detail [15].

72 *Statistical analyses*

73 The association between POP exposure and risk of developing type 2 diabetes was evaluated by conditional
74 logistic regression (EGRET), given odds ratios (OR) as the risk measure with 95% confidence intervals
75 (CI). The exposure variables (CB-153 and *p,p'*-DDE) were analyzed as continuous variables as well as
76 categorized into quartiles and tertiles, respectively, based on the distributions among all controls. Women
77 with serum concentrations in the highest quartile (or tertile) were considered as exposed. In addition,
78 separate analyses were performed for the set of cases and controls where the cases had their type 2 diabetes
79 diagnosed at least one, three, five and seven years after the base-line examination, respectively.

80 **Results**

81 The mean concentrations of CB-153 and *p,p'*-DDE was equally distributed among all cases and controls
82 (Table 2).

83 For the set of cases and controls where the cases had type 2 diabetes diagnosed at least seven years after
84 the baseline examination (n=39), the cases had a 22% higher mean concentration of CB-153 (1560 and
85 1280 pg/mL) and a 46% higher mean concentration of *p,p'*-DDE (5680 and 3890 pg/mL) compared with
86 the controls.

87 When all individuals were included in the analyses, the women in the highest exposure quartile showed no
88 increased risk to develop type 2 diabetes as compared to women in the three lower quartiles, irrespectively
89 if investigating the concentrations for CB-153 or *p,p'*-DDE (OR 0.99 and 1.1, respectively).

90 The corresponding ORs increased gradually, i.e. the longer time that had passed between the baseline
91 examination and the time to diagnose. If the cases were diagnosed at least seven years after the baseline
92 examination, OR of 1.6 (95% CI 0.61, 4.0) for CB-153 and 5.5 (95% CI 1.2, 25) for *p,p'*-DDE were obtained
93 (Table 2).

94 **Discussion**

95 Among women from the general population, the present study indicate that high serum concentrations of
96 *p,p'*-DDE is a strong risk factor for developing type 2 diabetes later in life. A five-fold statistically
97 significant increased risk was observed among the individuals with the longest follow-up. This finding is in
98 accordance with the results from previous cross-sectional studies [2-13]. Although less pronounced, similar
99 pattern was observed for CB-153. Thus, our data support that POP exposure can be a risk factor for type 2
100 diabetes and the importance of environmental pollutants must not be neglected.

108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Mrs. Tuula Rissanen, Mrs. Mervi Ojala, and Mr. Tuomo Korhonen in the Laboratory of Chemistry (National Public Health Institute) in Kuopio for their valuable technical assistance. The project was funded by The Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research and The Skane County Council Foundation for Research and Development, Region Skane, Sweden.

References

1. King H., Aubert RE., Herman WH. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21:1414–1431.
2. Longnecker MP and Michalek JE. (2000) *Epidemiology* 2000;11:44–48.
3. Fierens S., Mairesse H., Heilier J-F., De Burbure C. *Biomarkers* 2003; 8:529–34
4. Rylander L., Rignell-Hydbom., Hagmar L. *Environ Health* 2005; A Global Access Sci Source 4:28.
5. Vasilu O., Cameron L., Gardiner J., DeGuire P. *Epidemiology* 2006;17:352.
6. Rignell-Hydbom A., Rylander L., Hagmar. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2007;26:447–452.
7. Codru N., Shymura MJ., Negoita S., Akwesasne Task Force Environment., Rej R., Carpenter DO. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007;115:1442–7.
8. Everett CJ., Frithsen IL., Diaz VA., Koopman RJ., Simpson WM Jr. *Environ Res* 2007;103:413–18.
9. Lee DH., Lee IK., Steffes M., Jacobs DR Jr. *Diabetes Care* 2007a;30:622-628.
10. Lee DH., Lee IK., Steffes M., Jacobs DR Jr. *Diabetes Care* 2007b;30:1596-1598.
11. Wang SL, Tsai PC, Yang CY, Leon Guo Y *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:1574–1579.
12. Turyk M., Anderson H., Knobeloch L., Imm P., Persky V. *Chemosphere* 2009;75:674-679.
13. Uemura H., Arisawa K., Hiyoshi M., Satoh H., Sumiyoshi Y. *Environ Res* 2008;108:63-68.
14. Lidfeldt J., Nerbrand C., Samsioe G., Scherstén B., Agardh CD. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2001;17:943–951.
15. Rantakokko P., Kiviranta H., Rylander L., Rignell-Hydbom A., Vartiainen T. *J Chromatogr A* 2009; 1216:897–901.

Table 1 Background characteristics for 371 women from the Southern part of Sweden who were diagnosed for type 2 diabetes after the baseline investigation (cases) and a corresponding number of matched control women.

Variables	Controls (n=371)	Cases (n=371)
	Median (Min, Max)	Median (Min, Max)
Calendar-year at baseline ^a	1998 (1995, 2000)	1998 (1995, 2000)
Age at baseline (years) ^a	57.6 (50.7, 63.8)	57.3 (51.1, 63.8)
BMI at baseline (kg/m ²) ^a	28.5 (18.2, 43.8)	28.3 (17.9, 47.0)
BMI at 25 years of age (kg/m ²)	21.7 (15.8, 33.7)	21.4 (15.4, 34.6)
Time between baseline and T2DM diagnosis (years)		0.23 (0.01, 10.5)
	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Percent</i>
Family history of T2DM ^b	17	18
Born in Sweden	91	91
Education		
Compulsory school	29	32
Senior high school	44	45
University	27	23
Smoking history at baseline		
Ex smoker	20	22
Current smoker	12	16
Moderate/High alcohol intake ^c	9	16
Hormone replacement therapy at baseline	30	34
Low leisure time exercise ^d	64	66
Low physical activity at work ^e	33	35

- 140 ^a Matching variable
 141 ^b First-degree relatives
 142 ^c More than 84 gram alcohol per week.
 143 ^d Less than one hour of strenuous training session per week.
 144 ^e Mostly sedentary work

145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151

Table 2 Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) obtained from conditional logistic regressions. Figures are given when all women were included in the analyses, as well as separately for the set of cases and controls were the cases had their type 2 diabetes diagnosed at least three or seven years after the baseline investigation.

	OR	95% CI
CB-153 (pg/mL)		
<i>>1790 vs ≤1790 (ref)^a</i>		
All (371 sets ^b)	0.99	0.71 – 1.4
> 1year (163 sets ^b)	1.1	0.66 – 1.9
>3 years (107 sets ^b)	1.4	0.72 – 2.6
>5 years (74 sets ^b)	1.4	0.67 – 3.1
>7 years (39 sets ^b)	1.6	0.61 – 4.0
p,p'-DDE (pg/mL)		
<i>>4600 vs ≤4600 (ref)^a</i>		
All (371 sets ^b)	1.1	0.76 – 1.5
> 1year (163 sets ^b)	1.3	0.78 – 2.2
>3 years (107 sets ^b)	1.5	0.80 – 2.8
>5 years (74 sets ^b)	2.5	0.97 – 6.4
>7 years (39 sets ^b)	5.5	1.2 – 25

152 ^aThe cut-off level corresponding to the 75th percentile among all women.

153 ^b n Sets= n cases + n controls

154
 155

