

PCDD/Fs AND PCBs IN BUTTER SAMPLES FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION ACCESSION COUNTRIES

Rainer Malisch ¹⁾ and Panagiota Dilara ²⁾

¹ State Institute for Chemical and Veterinary Analysis of Food (CVUA), Bissierstr. 5, 79114 Freiburg, Germany

² Joint Research Center of the European Commission, TP 441, Ispra, VA 21020, Italy

INTRODUCTION

Despite considerable progress already achieved in dioxin emission reduction there is need for further action to avoid environmental and adverse health effects from dioxins and PCBs. For that reason the Community Strategy for dioxins, furans and PCBs has been developed [1]. The objectives of the strategy are to assess the current state of the environment and the ecosystem, to reduce human exposure to dioxins and PCBs in the short term and to maintain human exposure at safe levels in medium to long term. The strategy addresses also the issue of enlargement of the European Union: It was assumed that this enlargement is likely to increase the average exposure in the EU. The accession countries would likely produce higher emissions than the EU at the present time through variation in legislation and due to the vast abundance of worn industrial plants. One of the Council conclusions was that the situation on the emissions of dioxins, the levels of dioxins in the environment and the exposure of the population in the Accession Countries is currently uncertain and more research is needed in that subject.

Milk and milk products are good indicators for the contamination of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the food chain. Thus, butter has been used for comparison of the PCDD/F contamination in several countries [2,3]. In an effort to get an indication on whether exposure to dioxins and PCBs might cause a problem in the Accession Countries, a study of their levels in 16 butter samples coming from 8 Accession Countries was commissioned. The analysis was requested to be performed at the same level of accuracy as with the analysis on the WHO field study [4] in order to allow comparison of the findings. Therefore, the reference laboratory of the 3rd round of the WHO study was selected to perform the analysis [5].

METHODS

Five countries sent two samples each in December 2002: Czech Republic, Lithuania, Slovak Republic, Romania and Estonian Republic. Three countries sent the required two samples in February/March 2003: Cyprus, Poland and Slovenia.

The analytical method is described elsewhere [5, 6, 7].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To meet the requirements of a strict quality control programme, all butter samples were analysed in duplicate and a significant number of quality control samples was included. So far, final results are available for the countries submitting their samples in December 2002. Together with the 10 butter samples from these 5 countries, 2 reagent blank samples and 3 different quality control samples were analysed. The quality control parameters proved to be in the same range of reliability as demonstrated for performance of WHO exposure study [see lit. 5].

Summary results of Slovak Republic, Czech Republic, Lithuania, Romania and Estonian Republic are presented in table 1 (for WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ and sum WHO-TEQ [as sum of PCDD/F-TEQ and

PCB-TEQ]) and in table 2 (for WHO-PCB-TEQ with separate presentation of mono-ortho PCB-TEQ, non-ortho PCB-TEQ and sum PCB-TEQ). For a comparison with other data or with tolerances it is important above all in the low concentration range to consider whether TEQ results were calculated as upper- or lower-bound concentrations [8]. Therefore, for each TEQ parameter lower and upper bound concentrations are given.

Table 1 and 2 show that all samples except for one (Romania no. 2) are in the range of 0.21 to 0.50 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat (upper bound), that all samples except for two (Romania no. 2 and Estonia no. 2) are in the range of 0.36 to 0.82 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat (upper bound) and all samples except for two (Romania no. 2 and Estonia no. 2) are in the range of 0.57 to 1.23 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat (upper bound). The maximum values were found in the samples Romania no. 2 (0.98 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat; 1.75 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat; 2.73 pg sum WHO-TEQ/g fat) and Estonia no. 2 (0.26 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat; 1.62 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat; 1.87 pg sum WHO-TEQ/g fat).

The Community Strategy to reduce the presence of dioxins and PCBs in feed and food comprises legislative measures which consist of three pillars: the establishment of maximum levels at a strict but feasible level in food and feed, the establishment of action levels acting as a tool for "early warning" of higher than desirable levels of dioxin in food or feed and the establishment of target levels, over time, to bring exposure of a large part of the European population within the limits recommended by the Scientific Committee (see ref. 1). Council Regulation (EC) No. 2375/2001 sets a maximum level of 3 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat for milk and milk products, including butter fat [9]. The Commission has recommended an action level of 2 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat [10]. So far, these regulations and recommendations do not include dioxin-like PCBs. According to Council Regulation 2375/2001 the inclusion of dioxin-like PCBs should be reviewed by 31 December 2004. Thus, as an important conclusion, all samples are below the EU maximum tolerances and EU action levels for PCDD/F.

For a comprehensive evaluation, one has to consider not only the legal situation but also to compare the results with the average background contamination in different regions. The EU SCOOP database [11] covers ten countries and the period 1982 – 1999. EU SCF has considered the national figures contained in this database selecting only data since 1995 and calculated frequency distributions for a number of foods [12]. The mean concentrations of two subgroups of milk and its products ranged approximately from 0.6 to 1.0 pg I-TEQ/g or 0.6 to 1.3 pg PCB-TEQ/g, lipid basis. The upper confidence limits were in the order of 1 pg I-TEQ/g fat and 2-10 pg PCB-TEQ/g fat for dioxin-like PCBs. The range of PCDD/F concentrations in 65 butter samples from 39 countries was found to be between 0.02 to 2.02 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat [see ref. 2], in butter from 24 countries between 0.06 pg to 4.80 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat [see ref. 3]. JECFA summarized food data from Western Europe, Japan, New Zealand and North America for PCDD/Fs and PCBs; however, the results are in pg/g whole food and therefore not comparable with data on fat basis [see ref 8]. Data from 135 butter samples collected between 2000 and 2002 and analysed in CVUA Freiburg show the actual low range of background contamination in Germany: mean 0.38 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat (range 0.10 to 1.05; upper bound). In 21 of these samples, also PCB-TEQ was determined: mean 0.88 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat (range 0.23 to 1.62; upper bound) [13]. These and previous data [14] are in accordance with reports that in Germany PCDD/F contribute only about 30 % of the total TEQ value whereas about 70 % are caused by PCB-TEQ when a mean of 26 dairy products 0.77 pg WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ/g fat (range 0.55 to 1.16) and of 1.83 pg WHO-PCB-TEQ/g fat (range 0.71 – 3.04) was found [15].

As a conclusion, all samples except for Romania no. 2 are in the range of the actual low background contamination for PCDD/F found in many parts of Europe. Sample Romania no. 2 is above this level, but below the EU action and maximum level. All samples except Romania no. 2 and Estonia no. 2 are also in the actual low range for dioxin-like PCBs. In all samples except Estonia no. 2, the contribution

Tab. 1: Results of WHO-PCDD/F-TEQ and sum WHO-TEQ (PCDD/F + PCB) in pg/g fat

country	Sample No	WHO-PCDD/F- TEQ (pg/g fat)			sum WHO-TEQ (PCDD/F+PCB) (pg/g fat)		
		lower bound pg/g fat	upper bound pg/g fat	lower/upper % difference	lower bound pg/g fat	upper bound pg/g fat	% difference lower/upper
Slovak Republic	1	0,45	0,50	10	1,00	1,05	5
Slovak Republic	2	0,29	0,39	24	1,01	1,11	9
Czech Republic	1	0,37	0,41	11	1,18	1,23	4
Czech Republic	2	0,30	0,34	11	0,86	0,90	5
Lithuania	1	0,30	0,34	10	1,02	1,06	3
Lithuania	2	0,31	0,33	7	1,00	1,03	2
Romania	1	0,43	0,47	8	1,11	1,15	3
Romania	2	0,98	0,98	1	2,72	2,73	0
Estonia	1	0,11	0,21	47	0,47	0,57	17
Estonia	2	0,20	0,26	21	1,82	1,87	3

Tab. 2: Results of WHO-PCB-TEQ (separately for mono-ortho PCB, non-ortho PCB and sum PCB) in pg/g fat

country	Sample No	PCB-TEQ mono-ortho	PCB-TEQ mono-ortho	PCB-TEQ non-ortho	PCB-TEQ non-ortho	PCB-TEQ sum PCB	PCB-TEQ sum PCB	PCB-TEQ sum PCB
		lower bound pg/g fat	upper bound pg/g fat	lower bound pg/g fat	upper bound pg/g fat	lower bound pg/g fat	upper bound pg/g fat	% difference lower/upper
Slovak Republic	1	0,09	0,10	0,46	0,46	0,55	0,55	1,3
Slovak Republic	2	0,16	0,16	0,56	0,56	0,71	0,72	0,9
Czech Republic	1	0,14	0,14	0,68	0,68	0,81	0,82	0,7
Czech Republic	2	0,14	0,15	0,42	0,42	0,56	0,57	0,8
Lithuania	1	0,11	0,11	0,61	0,61	0,72	0,72	0,1
Lithuania	2	0,12	0,12	0,58	0,58	0,70	0,70	0,1
Romania	1	0,13	0,13	0,56	0,56	0,68	0,69	0,2
Romania	2	0,20	0,20	1,55	1,55	1,74	1,75	0,1
Estonia	1	0,07	0,07	0,29	0,29	0,36	0,36	0,2
Estonia	2	0,30	0,30	1,31	1,31	1,62	1,62	< 0,1

of dioxin-like PCB to sum WHO-TEQ is in the range from 53 to 68 % which reflects the usual range especially in Europe. In sample Estonia no. 2, this contribution is 86 % which is an indication of a particular PCB source. The maximum sum WHO-TEQ values of 1.87 pg/g fat for Estonia no. 2 and of 2.73 pg/g fat for Romania no. 2 are below or in the range of the upper confidence limits as identified by EU SCF using data from 1995 to 1999 [see 12].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the national coordinators for collecting and sending the samples. We are very grateful to Mrs. Tritschler for her reliable preparation of the samples and to Mr. Winterhalter for operation of the high resolution mass spectrometer.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Community strategy for dioxins, furans and polychlorinated biphenyls, COM (2001) 593 final, Official Journal of the European Communities C322/2-18
- ² Weiss J, Pöpke O and Bergman A (2001) *Organohalogen Compounds* 51:271 - 274
- ³ Santillo D, Fernandes A, Stringer R, Johnston P, Rose M and White S (2001) *Organohalogen Compounds* 51:275 - 278
- ⁴ Interlaboratory Quality Assessment of Levels of PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs in Human Milk and Blood Plasma – fourth round of WHO-coordinated study (2000), WHO Report EUR/00/5020352, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁵ Malisch R and van Leeuwen FXR (2002) *Organohalogen Compounds*, 56:317-320.
- ⁶ Malisch R, Bruns-Weller E, Knoll A, Fürst P, Mayer R and Wiesmüller T (2000) *Chemosphere* 40: 1033 - 1040
- ⁷ Malisch R, Fraisse D, Abad E and Rivera J (2003) Results of a quality control study for determination of PCDD/Fs and dioxin-like PCBs in food and feed samples. Submitted for *Dioxin 2003*
- ⁸ Canady R, Crump K, Feeley M, Freijer J, Kogevinas M, Malisch R, Verger P, Wilson J and Zeilmaker M (2002) WHO Food Additives Series 48 “Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants”, prepared by the fifty-seventh meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), p. 451 - 664
- ⁹ Council Regulation (EC) No 2375/2001 of 29 November 2001, Official Journal of the European Communities L 321/1-5
- ¹⁰ Commission Recommendation of 4 March 2002 on the reduction of the presence of dioxins, furans and PCBs in feedingstuffs and foodstuffs (2002/201/EC), Official Journal of the European Communities L 67/69-73
- ¹¹ European Commission, Health and Consumer Protection Directorate-General (2000) Report on tasks for scientific cooperation: Assessment of dietary intake of dioxins and related PCB by the population of EU member states
- ¹² European Commission, Health and Consumer Protection Directorate-General, Directorate C, Scientific Committee on Food (2000) Opinion of the SCF on the risk assessment of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs in food
- ¹³ Malisch R, unpublished data
- ¹⁴ Malisch R (1996) *Organohalogen Compounds* (1996) 28:271-276
- ¹⁵ Fürst P (2001) *Organohalogen Compounds* 51:279 - 282