ENDOCRINE-POSTER

FETAL AND INFANT BODY BURDEN OF 2, 3, 7, 8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN CAUSING A SHORT ANOGENITAL DISTANCE AND IMMUNOTOXICITY IN MALE HOLTZMAN RATS

Yuichi Miyabara^{1, 3}, Seiichiroh Ohsako^{1, 3}, Keiko Nohara^{1, 3}, Chiharu Tohyama^{1, 3} and Junzo Yonemoto^{2, 3}

 1 Environmental Health Sciences Division and 2 Endocrine Disruptors & Dioxin Research Project, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, 305-8506, Japan, ³CREST, Japan Science and Technology, Kawaguchi, 332-0012, Japan

Introduction

Maternal exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) causes a variety of toxic responses in their fetus and offspring. The effects include decreased birth weight, irreversible changes in the reproductive systems¹⁻⁵, feminized sexual behavior⁶, and change of thymocyte subpopulation⁷. Recently, we also observed suppression of development of reproductive organs and decrease of splenocyte number in male pups following in utero and lactational TCDD exposure^{8, 9}. Bjerke and Peterson compared the effects of *in utero* versus lactational TCDD on male reproductive function¹⁰, and concluded that male reproductive effects was caused predominantly by low level TCDD exposure via in utero route. Furthermore, Gray et al. and our group showed that critical period was gestation day (GD) 15 on the developmenl of male reproductive organs in rat¹¹. Gehrs *et al.* compared the severity of the immunotoxic effects between in utero and lactational TCDD exposure¹². The order of severity was via lactational with in utero, lactational alone, in utero alone. Therefore, body burden of TCDD at the end of lactation is considerably important to estimate the immunotoxic effects. The objective of the current study is to determine the fetal and infant body burden at the critical period for male reproductive and immune organs by using high-resolution GC/MS analysis.

Materials and Methods

Animals and treatments

The following administration and necropsies were all performed in the hazardous chemical regulation area at our institute. Pregnant Holtzman rats (5 per group) were given a single oral dose of 0, 50, 200 or 800 ng TCDD/kg body weight on GD 15. Dams were sacrificed under diethylether-anesthesia on GD 16 and postnatal day (PND) 21, and blood, adipose tissue and fetuses were collected. Tissue and blood specimens were collected from 5 infant rats (one per litter) of each group on PND 21, 49 and 120. The blood was centrifuged at 900 x g for 15 min and the plasma was stored at -80°C until GC/MS analyses.

ORGANOHALOGEN COMPOUNDS $Vol. 53 (2001)$ 54

ENDOCRINE-POSTER

TCDD analysis

The content of TCDD was determined according to the methods described previously with a minor modification¹³. Briefly, tissue specimens $(0.1-1g)$ were spiked with ¹³C-2,3,7,8-TCDD as internal standard, and digested in 2 M potassium hydroxide solution. The digested material was extracted with n-hexane, and the extract was washed with concentrated sulfuric acid. The n-hexane layer was concentrated and sequentially subjected to silica gel, alumina and active carbon impregnated-silica gel column chromatographies. The GC/MS analysis was performed in the selected ion mode with a JMS 700 high-perfomiance double-focusing mass spectrometer (JEOL, Japan) coupled to an HP 6890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, USA) wilh CP-SIL 8CB/MS column (Varian, USA).

Results and Discussion

Effects of maternal TCDD exposure on male reproductive organs

The anogenital dislance and ventral prostate weight of male rats sacrificed on PND 120 showed a significant decrease in the groups receiving doses grealer than 50 and 200 ng TCDD/kg, respectively. TCDD concentration was determined in fetus on GDI6 as critical period, and in adipose tissue on PND120. There was a dose-dependent increase in the fetal body burden and TCDD concentration in adipose following malemal exposure to 50, 200 or 800 ng TCDD/kg. The lowest observed maternal dose that suppressed the development of male pup reproductive organ was 50 ng TCDD/kg, which resulted in fetal body burden of 7.9 ng/kg on GDI6 (Table 1). Effecis of maternal TCDD exposure on male immune organs

Splenocyte number was decreased by matemal exposure to 12.5 - 800 ng TCDD/kg in a dose-dependent manner on PND 49, and significant decrease was observed in the group receiving 800 ng TCDD/kg. Immunotoxic effects in pups were caused by both in utero and lactational TCDD exposure, therefore we determined TCDD concentration in whole pup at weaning, and immune organs on PND 49. The maternal TCDD dose of 800 ng/kg resulted in a body burden of 285 ng/kg in pup on PND 21(Table 1). The thymus and spleen maternally exposed to 800 ng TCDD/kg contained 28.0 and 22.4 pg TCDD/g-tissue on PND 49, respectively.

Table 1. Effects of maternal TCDD exposure and body burden in the rat

*: Calculated from the exposure condition of original report, (assumes a gastrointestinal absorption of 86 % peroral treatment with corn oil)

ENDOCRINE-POSTER

For risk assessment of the maternal TCDD exposure on pups, TCDD concentration in target organs of fetus/pup at the critical period is more meaningful than matemal dose or body burden. In the present study, fetal body burden on GD 16 was approximately a quarter of calculated malemal body burden. Decrease of anogenital dislance of male pup was caused by fetal body burden of 7.9 ng TCDD/kg or more. This fetal body burden is comparable to the body burden of 5 ng TEQ/kg in adull humans wilh no known excessive exposure lo dioxins and relaled compounds. This resull indicates that margin of exposure (MOE) to TCDD could be 5-10 times lower than the MOE level so far reported. Although no obvious evidence on the occurrence of signs and symptoms due to dioxin and related compounds has been documented, the probabilistic risk of occurrence of some subtle change is thought to be non-negligible as low as exposure to dioxin and related compounds remain at the current level.

References

- 1. Mably T.A., Moore R.W. and Peterson R.E. (1992) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 114, 97.
- 2. Mably T.A., Bjerke D.L., Moore R.W., Gendron-Fitzpatrick A. and Peterson R.E. (1992) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 114, 118.
- 3. Gray L.E., Ostby J.S. and Kelce W.R. (1997) Toxicol Appl Phannacol. 146, 11.
- 4. Gray L.E., Wolf C, Mann R and Ostby J.S. (1997) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 146, 237.
- 5. Faqi A.S., Dalsenler RR., Merker H.J. and Chahoud I. (1998) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 150, 383.
- 6. Mably TA., Moore R.W., Goy R.W. and Peterson R.E. (1992) Toxicol Appl Phannacol. 114, 108.
- 7. Gehrs B.C. and Smialowicz R.J. (1997) Toxicology. 122, 219.
- 8. Ohsako S., Miyabara Y, Nishimura N., Kurosawa S., Sakaue M., Ishimura R., Sato M., Takeda K., Aoki Y, Sone H., Tohyama C and Yonemoto J. (2001) Toxicol Sci. 60, 132.
- 9. Nohara K., Fujimaki H., Tsukumo S., Ushio H., Miyabara Y, Kijima M., Tohyama C and Yonemoto J. (2000) Toxicology. 154, 123.
- 10. Bjerke D.L. and Peterson R.E. (1994) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 127, 241.
- 11. Gray L.E., Kelce W.R., Monosson E., Ostby J.S. and Bimbaum L.S. (1995) Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 131, 108.
- 12. Gehrs B.C., Riddle M.M., Williams W.C. and Smialowicz R.J. (1997) Toxicology. 122, 229.
- 13. Miyabara Y, Hashimoto S., Sagai M. and Morita M. (1999) Chemosphere: 39, 143.