

STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF DIOXIN FILTERS EFFICIENCY USING A FIXED INSTALLED DIOXIN EMISSIONS MONITORING AND STATISTICAL CONTROL CHARTS

Gerhard Kahr¹, Thomas Steiner¹, Joep Verwoerd², Sun-Ho Lee³

1 DioxinMonitoringSystems, A-2542 Kottlingbrunn, Austria

2 AVR Rijnmond, NL 3197 KK Rotterdam, Netherlands

3 We One Intertech Corp., #307 Sehyun B/D, 832-7 Yoksamdong, Kangnarnku, Korea

Introduction

In 1993 a fixed bed activated carbon filter was installed at the hazardous waste incinerator (DTO 9) of Rotterdam to reduce dioxin emissions below the legal limit of 0.1 ng/m^3 . During the start up of this filter system numerous performance tests were done.¹


In August 2000 a permanent installed dioxin monitoring and evaluation device was installed at this hazardous waste incinerator to check and to evaluate periodically (once a month) the performance of this filter system.

In this paper the control chart is explained, using the data of this dioxin monitoring system, which enables the operator to evaluate the dioxin emission values by statistical methods.

Methods and Materials

The complete system of equipment used for this investigation consists of the following equipment:
one sampling unit
one control and evaluation unit

Picture 1: DioxinMonitoringSystem[®] schema



REMEDIAATION-POSTER

The sampling unit was mounted at the stack of the hazardous waste incinerator DTO 9. The Control and evaluation unit was installed nearby the mounted sampling unit.


Dioxin emission measurements at concentrations of the legal limit (0.1 ng/m^3) were done with 8-hour monitoring period to enable measurement periods conform to the European standard EN 1948-part 1.

Dioxin emission measurements at very low concentrations (below 0.01 ng/m^3) were done with 1week monitoring period to have the advantage of very low detection limits. Using 1-week monitoring time detection limits of 0.001 ng/m^3 could be achieved.

Once a month the process engineer of the plant performed the dioxin monitoring using the fixed installed monitoring system, which did the monitoring fully automatically. Details are described in ³

After stopping the measurement the engineer sent the filter unit together with the measurement protocol and corresponding parameters in a transportation box to the laboratory, where the filter unit was extracted and cleaned according European standards.

1 week later the process engineer received the results by E-mail from the laboratory. The evaluation of the results was done according the introduced control chart.



Picture 2: Sampling unit

REMEDIAATION-POSTER

Results of the performance test in 1992

In 1992 several performance tests of the fixed bed activated carbon filter were done during the start up of the filter system.¹

Table 1 shows the results of these performance tests.

Performance test 1992	Laboratory No	Toxicity equivalent I-TE (dry)
4.9.1992	920357	0.014
10.9.1992	920361	0.032
22.9.1992	920379/1	0.021
23.9.1992	920379/2	0.043
25.9.1992	920386/1	0.016
29.9.1992	920386/2	0.012
30.9.1992	920386/3	0.010
1.10.1992	920386/4	0.008
Average value		0.0193
Confidence limit (p = 0.95)		0.0080

Statistical evaluation of the performance data

Using the uncertainty evaluation of ² the check values can be calculated as follows:

Table 2: Check values for drift of dioxin emissions at level of 0.019 ng/m³

monitoring period	h(x)	k(x)	h(s)	k(s)
1 week	0.014 ng/m ³	0.0024 ng/m ³	0.0083 ng/m ³	0.0022 ng/m ³
8 hour	0.023 ng/m ³	0.0040 ng/m ³	0.0234 ng/m ³	0.0063 ng/m ³

Control chart for increasing (decreasing) dioxin emissions

Table 3: Control chart to evaluate the trend of dioxin emissions (all values ng I-TE/m³)

surveyed period	c(I-TE)	sum of pos. t	sum of neg t	calculated trend
1	0.034	0.0000	0.0000	
2	0.025	0.0036	0.0000	
3	0.007	0.0000	0.0096	
4	0.011	0.0000	0.0152	
5	0.007	0.0000	0.0248	decreasing emissions
6	0.043	0.0216	0.0000	
7	0.102	0.1022	0.0000	increasing emissions
8	0.074	0.1548	0.0000	increasing emissions

The statistical proof for decreasing dioxin emissions is done, in case the sum of negative t exceeds 0.023 ng/m^3 (in case of 8-hour monitoring period) or exceeds 0.014 ng/m^3 (in case of 1-week monitoring period).

The statistical proof for increasing dioxin emissions is done, in case the sum of positive t exceeds 0.023 ng/m^3 (in case of 8-hour monitoring period) or exceeds 0.014 ng/m^3 (in case of 1-week monitoring period).

Control chart for scattering dioxin emissions

Table 4: Control chart to evaluate obtained dioxin emissions for scattering (all values ng I-TE/m^3)

surveilled period	c(I-TE)	dt	sp	calculated scattering
1	0.034	0.015	0	no increase of scattering
2	0.025	0.006	0.00004	no increase of scattering
3	0.007	- 0.012	0.00020	no increase of scattering
4	0.011	- 0.008	0.00021	no increase of scattering
5	0.007	- 0.012	0.00022	no increase of scattering
6	0.043	0.024	0.00087	no increase of scattering
7	0.102	0.083	0.00261	no increase of scattering
8	0.074	0.055	0.00300	no increase of scattering

The statistical proof for the increase of scattering is done, in case $sp > 0.0083$ for a 1-week measurement period.

Discussion

The statistical evaluation showed that the use of the described dioxin monitoring system with 1-week monitoring period as well with 8-hours monitoring period is able to detect increasing as well as decreasing dioxin emissions in a very sensitive way.

Especially at low dioxin concentrations (below 0.01 ng/m^3), only 1-week measurement periods enables proper statistical evaluation of the obtained results.

The reasons are based on the specific conditions inside the flue gas cleaning system. The flue gas behind activated carbon filters contains activated carbon particles, soot and fly ash particles, which have adsorbed different amount of dioxins.

With short measurement time the fractions of activated carbon, soot and fly ash can vary, which leads to higher uncertainty of the measured dioxin emissions. Increasing the measurement time leads to more representative sampling.

With 1-weeks measurement time 20 times more particles are sampled, which increases the representativeness of the whole measurement. This is the main advantage of 1-weeks measurement time, instead of 8 hour measurement period.

REMEDIAATION-POSTER

Therefore at plants with low particle concentration and complex mixtures of particles in the flue gas it is an advantage to increase the sampling time to 1 week, to use the obtained dioxin emission data for statistical evaluation (trend calculation or drift calculation of dioxin emissions).

The application at the stack of the hazardous waste incinerator of Rotterdam showed that this statistical evaluation can be used as "Quality assurance control chart" to detect increasing (decreasing) performance of the installed fixed bed activated carbon filter very well.

References

1. Kahr G., Eberl K., Report of performance measurement
2. Kahr G., Steiner T., Proceedings of the conference CEM 2001, Netherlands
3. Kahr G., Steiner T., VDI report of CEN Workshop 2001, Measurement of dioxin emissions, Düsseldorf