### FORMATION AND SOURCES I -POSTER

### KINETIC ASPECT OF DE NOVO SYNTHESIS OF PCDD/F IN INCINERATOR FLY ASH

H. Huang  $^{1}$  and A. Buekens  $^{2}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 81 Xian Lie Zhong Road, Guangzhou 510070 China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and Industrial Chemistry, Free University of Brussels, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail: huanght@ms.giec.ac.cn (H. Huang); abuekens@vub.ac.be (A. Buekens)

#### Introduction

Stieglitz et al.<sup>1,2</sup> found that de novo synthesis is active on incinerator fly ash at time scales of 15, 30, 60 min. Milligan and Altwicker<sup>3</sup> observed de novo synthesis at time scales of 5, 20 and 30 min. Blaha and Hagenmaier<sup>4</sup> tested a model fly ash and discovered that de novo synthesis can take place at a time scale as short as 1 min. Thus de novo synthesis of PCDD/F on various carbon-containing materials and time scales has already been established. In this paper we present a kinetic model for de novo synthesis based on relevant rate processes.

#### **Kinetic Model Development**

The following reaction steps are considered:

Rxn. 1: $C + aO_2 \rightarrow bCO + cCO_2 + dAr + e(PCDD/F)_s$ Rxn. 2: $(PCDD/F)_s \rightarrow (PCDD/F)_g$ Rxn. 3: $(PCDD/F)_s \rightarrow other products$ 

The subscript s and g indicate solid and gas phase, respectively. Rxn. 1 is a global reaction describing carbon gasification and PCDD/F formation; Rxn. 2 is the desorption of solid-phase PCDD/F to the gas-phase; Rxn. 3 is the degradation of PCDD/F to other products. The differential rate equations for the above set of reactions are:

Eq. 1: 
$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = k_1[C][O_2]$$

Eq. 2: 
$$\frac{d[PCDD/F]_{s}}{dt} = f k_{1} [C] [O_{2}]^{a} - (k_{2} + k_{3}) [PCDD/F]_{s}$$

Eq. 3: 
$$\frac{d[PCDD/F]_g}{dt} = k_2 [PCDD/F]_g$$

where, [C] is the carbon content in incinerator fly ash (g/g);  $[O_2]$  is the partial pressure of oxygen (atm); [PCDD/F] is the PCDD/F content ( $\mu$ g/g); t is reaction time (min); k<sub>1</sub> is the rate constant of Rxn. 1 (1/min·atm<sup>0.5</sup>), k<sub>2</sub> and k<sub>3</sub> are the rate constant for Rxn. 2 and 3, respectively (1/min). According to the

### ORGANOHALOGEN COMPOUNDS Vol. 50 (2001)

411

## FORMATION AND SOURCES I - POSTER

Arrhenius equation,  $k_i = A_i \exp(-E_i/RT)$ , where  $A_i$  is the pre-exponential factor and  $E_i$  is the activation energy;  $A_i$  has the same unit as  $k_i$ ; and  $E_i$  has the unit cal/mol. The gas constant R = 1.987 cal/mol·K; T is temperature in K. f is the PCDD/F yield ( $\mu$ g/g) from carbon gasified:  $f = 10^{-6}A_4 \exp(-E_4/RT)$ , and the reaction order a = 0.5 (the parameters f and a are discussed next section). Integration of Eq. 1 gives

Eq. 4:  $[C] = [C]_{a} e^{k_{1} (o_{2})^{a, 5}}$ where, [C]<sub>0</sub> is the initial carbon content (g/g). Substitute Eq. 4 into Eq. 2,

$$\frac{d[PCDD/F]_{s}}{dt} = f[C]_{0}k_{1}[O_{2}]^{0.s}e^{ik_{1}(O_{2})^{0.s_{1}}} - (k_{2}+k_{3})[PCDD/F]_{s}$$

The solution of this first-order differential equation is

Eq. 5: 
$$[PCDD/F]_{s} = \frac{f[C]_{0}k_{1}[O_{2}]^{0.5}}{k_{2}+k_{3}-k_{1}[O_{2}]^{0.5}} [e^{-k_{1}(O_{2})^{0.5}} - e^{-(k_{2}-k_{3})t}]$$

Substitute Eq. 5 into Eq. 3, and integration leads to

Eq. 6: 
$$[PCDD/F]_{g} = \frac{f[C]_{0}k_{2}}{k_{2} + k_{3} - k_{1}[O_{2}]^{0.5}} [1 - e^{-k_{1}(O_{2})^{0.5}} - \frac{k_{1}[O_{2}]^{0.5}}{k_{2} + k_{3}} (1 - e^{-(k_{2} + k_{3})^{2}})]$$

The total amount of PCDD/F is

Eq. 7:  $[PCDD/F]_t = [PCDD/F]_s + [PCDD/F]_g$ Eqs. 5, 6 and 7 relate the amount of PCDD/F formed to process variables including carbon content

 $[C]_0$ , oxygen  $[O_2]$ , temperature T (through the rate constants), and reaction time t.

**Estimation of Model Parameters** 

Kinetic parameters for carbon gasification  $(k_1)$ , PCDD/F yield (f), desorption  $(k_2)$ , and degradation  $(k_3)$  have been estimated. According to refs<sup>5,6</sup>, a = 0.5 in Eq. 1. Following ref<sup>2</sup> the parameters of f are estimated in Table 1 (the negative activation energy in this case is a fitting parameter and reflects that f decreases as T is increased). For PCDD/F desorption and degradation, data in papers<sup>7,8</sup> were used. After preliminary estimation of model parameters, experimental data of de novo synthesis were used to check the model, and the parameters were adjusted to obtain a best fit to experimental data. Final model parameters are shown in Table 1. With these parameters, PCDD/F formation levels were calculated from Eqs. 5, 6 and 7, and listed in Table 2 and 3. It can be seen that the model agrees with experimental data within the usual range of uncertainty in de novo synthesis tests.

	А	E (cal/mol)	
k <sub>i</sub>	5.1x10 <sup>4</sup> 1/min·atm <sup>0.5</sup>	17000	
k <sub>2</sub>	1.05x10 <sup>11</sup> 1/min	35000	
k3	8.5x10 <sup>14</sup> 1/min	44250	
f	1.6x10 <sup>7</sup> μg/g	-3500	

Table 1. Kinetic parameters for rate equation:  $k_i = A_i \exp(-E_i/RT)$ .

### ORGANOHALOGEN COMPOUNDS Vol. 50 (2001)

# FORMATION AND SOURCES I -POSTER

Oxygen (atm)	Temperature (°C)	Reaction time (min)	Total PCDD/F formation (µg/g)	
			Experiment	Calculation
Series I. Stieglit	$z \ et \ al.^2, \ [C]_0 = 0.045$	g/g		
0.21	275	15	1.78	1
		30	1.81	1.91
	200	60	2.81	3.5
	300	15 30	1.36 3.83	1.55 2.71
		60	8.12	4.2
	350	15	0.91	1.29
	·	30	1.67	1.57
		60	4.9	1.89
Series II. Millig	an and Altwicker <sup>3</sup> , [C]	$I_0 = 0.019  g/g$		
0.1	275	30	0.3	0.56
	300		0.7	0.82
	325		0.57	0.77
	300	5	0.12	0.1
		20	0.55	0.59
Series III. Altwi	cker et al. <sup>7</sup> , Assumed [	$[C]_0 = 0.02 \ g/g$		
		<b>(</b> 0	0.22	<u> </u>
0.01	300	60	0.22	0.49
0.04	300	60	0.6	0.93
0.04 0.09	300	60	0.6 1.6	0.93 1.33
0.04 0.09 0.21		60	0.6 1.6 2.37	0.93 1.33 1.87
0.04 0.09	250	60	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67
0.04 0.09 0.21	250 285	60	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28
0.04 0.09 0.21	250	60	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1	250 285 300		0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 Ik et al. <sup>9</sup> , Assumed [C]	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1	250 285 300 350 Ik et al. <sup>9</sup> , Assumed [C] 251		0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 Ik et al. <sup>9</sup> , Assumed [C]	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 <i>ik et al.<sup>9</sup>, Assumed [C]</i> 251 275 299 325	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51 0.21 0.4 3 2.94	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72 0.69 1.11 1.39 1.01
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 <i>ik et al.<sup>9</sup>, Assumed [C]</i> 251 275 299 325 353	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51 0.21 0.4 3 2.94 1.13	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72 0.69 1.11 1.39 1.01 0.7
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 <i>ik et al.<sup>9</sup>, Assumed [C]</i> 251 275 299 325 353 400	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51 0.21 0.4 3 2.94 1.13 0.67	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72 0.69 1.11 1.39 1.01 0.7 0.46
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 <i>ik et al.<sup>9</sup>, Assumed [C]</i> 251 275 299 325 353 400 425	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51 0.21 0.4 3 2.94 1.13 0.67 0.29	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72 0.69 1.11 1.39 1.01 0.7 0.46 0.35
0.04 0.09 0.21 0.1 Series IV. Addin	250 285 300 350 <i>ik et al.<sup>9</sup>, Assumed [C]</i> 251 275 299 325 353 400	$f_0 = 0.02  g/g$	0.6 1.6 2.37 0.98 1.09 1.65 1.51 0.21 0.4 3 2.94 1.13 0.67	0.93 1.33 1.87 0.67 1.28 1.38 0.72 0.69 1.11 1.39 1.01 0.7 0.46

### Table 2. Comparison of PCDD/F formation from laboratory experiment and model calculation.

### **Calculation Results**

Ì

The differential form of the kinetic model (Eqs. 1, 2 and 3) are numerically integrated with kinetic constants and reaction rates evaluated using local temperatures. To account for ash deposition, an average residence time of fly ash in the relevant regions is assumed, and the actual fly ash amount including fly ash holdup is calculated by: (input fly ash concentration) x (residence time of fly ash) /

### ORGANOHALOGEN COMPOUNDS

Vol. 50 (2001)

## FORMATION AND SOURCES I -POSTER

Table 5. Allounts of PC	DD/F in the gas phase (wi. % of total	.).
Temperature (°C)	Experiment (Altwicker et al. <sup>7</sup> )	Calculation
250	0.7	0.8
285	4	6.3
300	37	14.8
350	94	84.3

Table 3. Amounts of PCDD/F in the gas phase (wt. % of total).

Table 4. Calculated PCDD/F formation levels assuming different flue gas/fly ash residence times	Table 4	Calculated PCDD/F	formation levels	assuming different	flue gas/fly	v ash residence times <sup>a</sup>
---	---------	-------------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------	------------------------------------

Equipment	Temperature <sup>b</sup> (°C)		Residence time		Net PCDD/F formation at the equipment	
	In	Out	flue gas (sec)	fly ash	Gas phase (µg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )	Solid phase (µg/g)
Boiler	550	250	5	5 sec 1 min 5 min	0.009 0.11 0.56	0.011 0.13 0.66
Electro filter	350	200	5	10 min 30 min	0.001 0.004	0.42 1.26
Fabric filter	250	150	10	30 min 60 min	0 0	0.12 0.24

<sup>a</sup>Other input conditions are: fly ash concentration = 10 g/Nm<sup>3</sup>; initial carbon content in fly ash = 0.02 g/g; partial pressure of oxygen = 0.1 atm. <sup>b</sup>Decreasing linearly from inlet to outlet temperature.

(residence time of flue gas). [C] and [PCDD/F] now are the actual carbon amount (g/Nm<sup>3</sup>) and PCDD/F amount ( $\mu$ g/Nm<sup>3</sup>), respectively. The calculation results are summarised in Table 4. In the boiler section if there is no ash deposition (i.e. the residence times of flue gas and fly ash are the same), then the PCDD/F formation level is low. If the residence time of fly ash is increased to several minutes, then the de novo synthesis of PCDD/F is very much increased, and PCDD/F formed at this temperature range have a significant portion present in the gas phase. For electrofilters, the calculated amount of PCDD/F formed in this temperature range are mostly present in the solid phase. For fabric filters, PCDD/F formation from de novo synthesis is predicted to be entirely in the solid phase.

### References

1. Stieglitz, L., Vogg, H. (1988) Formation and decomposition of polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and furans in municipal waste incineration, Report KfK 4379, Karlsruhe, Germany.

2. Stieglitz, L., Eichberger, M., Schleihauf, J., Zwick, G., Will, R. (1993) Chemosphere 27, 343.

3. Milligan, M.S., Altwicker, E. (1993) Environ Sci Technol. 27, 1595.

- 4. Blaha, J., Hagenmaier, H. (1995) Organohalogen Compd. 23, 403.
- 5. Milligan, M.S., Altwicker, E. (1993) Carbon 31, 977.
- 6. Ismail, I.M.K., Walker Jr., P.L. (1989) Carbon 27, 549.
- 7. Altwicker, E.R., Xun, Y., Milligan, M.S. (1994) Organohalogen Compd. 20, 381.
- 8. Collina, E., Lasagni, M., Pitea, D., Keil, B., Stieglitz, L. (1995) Environ Sci Technol. 29, 577.
- 9. Addink, R., Espourteille, F., Altwicker, E.R. (1998) Environ Sci Technol. 32, 3356.

### ORGANOHALOGEN COMPOUNDS Vol. 50 (2001)

414