

HARBOR SEALS AS INDICATORS OF HALOGENATED CONTAMINANTS IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY

Jianwen She, Myrto Petreas, Jennifer Winkler, Pat Visita, Michael McKinney, Robert Jones¹,
A. Dianne Kopec²

Hazardous Materials Laboratory, DTSC, Cal/EPA, 2151 Berkeley Way, Berkeley, CA 94704

¹University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, ²University of Maine, Orono, ME 04469

Introduction

Significant accumulations of persistent organochlorines, from both historical and on-going waste discharges, have been documented in the estuarine environment of San Francisco Bay. Harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina richardsii*), as year-round residents of the Bay¹ and upper trophic level piscivores, are exposed to those contaminants which bioaccumulate in the food web. Elsewhere, regional studies^{2,3} have documented high residue levels of organochlorine contaminants in pinnipeds. Studies of harbor seals eating contaminated fish from the Baltic Sea found both reduced reproduction⁴ and immune suppression associated with elevated organochlorine residues. To assess contaminant levels in upper trophic level biota of San Francisco Bay, harbor seal blubber samples were analyzed for PCDD/PCDFs, OCPs, PCBs and PBDEs.

Materials and Methods

Blubber samples were collected between 1989–1998, from beach-cast harbor seals found along the San Francisco Bay shoreline. Tissue collections were part of a larger study of harbor seal population dynamics, health, contaminant residues and prey selection¹. Gross blubber samples collected in the field were sub-sampled, and archived at the UC Berkeley Museum of Vertebrate Zoology until they were brought to the laboratory where they remained at –20°C until analysis. The samples chosen for this analysis were gender balanced, sexually mature adults, as defined by length and weight, and one mother fetus pair that died during birth. Some individuals chosen had been tagged and sampled, 2–4 years prior to death, for health and contaminant residues.

Samples were thawed, weighed, mixed with Na₂SO₄, homogenized with 1:1 dichloromethane : hexane, and spiked with ¹³C-labelled internal standards (all seventeen 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDD/PCDFs; PCBs 77, 126, 169, 28, 52, 47, 101, 105, 118, 153, 180, 194, 209; HCB, α -HCH, DDE, DDT, Dieldrin, Mirex and PBDE 77). Approximately 1/10 of the extract was analyzed for OCPs, PCBs and PBDEs, and 9/10 analyzed for PCDD/Fs and non-ortho PCBs. Lipid content was determined gravimetrically. Samples were serially processed through columns containing Na₂SO₄ and AX21 carbon. The first fraction off the carbon column was further cleaned up by GPC and Florisil, recovery standards were added and the sample concentrated to 10 μ L for PCB, OCP and PBDE analysis. PCDD/Fs and non-ortho PCBs were eluted from the carbon column with toluene and the eluate cleaned up with alumina and acid silica columns; recovery standards were added and the sample concentrated to 10 μ L. PCDD/Fs and PCBs were analyzed by HRGC/HRMS (Finnigan MAT 90) with a 60 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m film thickness, DB-5ms column. PFK was used for the lock masses and the MS was operated in an EI mode with multiple ion monitoring. OCPs and PBDEs were analyzed by LRMS in NCEI mode (Finnigan 4510) with a 60 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m film thickness, DB-5ms column, with methane as the reagent gas. The ion source pressure was 0.6 Torr and ion source temperature was 100 °C. The electron energy was typically 70 eV and the electron current was kept at 0.3 mA.

Results and Discussion

The major PCDD/PCDFs and non-ortho PCBs in harbor seal blubber are shown in Table 1. Only 1,2,3,6,7,8 HxCDD, 2,3,7,8 TCDF and OCDD were consistently measured above the detection limit. Overall, concentrations of PCDD/PCDFs were low in all analyzed samples. This finding is consistent with other reports on low PCDD/PCDF levels in harbor seal blubber from the New York area⁵, and from the north coast of Europe⁶. Higher levels of a broader range of PCDD/PCDF congeners have been reported in other pinniped species, including the Baikal seal³, and the ringed seal².

The major congeners found in harbor seals were compared to those found in San Francisco Bay white croaker, one of six primary seal prey species (Table 1). In white croaker⁷, the concentration of total PCDFs was higher than that of total PCDDs, and the concentration of PCDD/PCDFs on a lipid basis was similar to that in the seals. Fewer detectable congeners and low concentrations of PCDD/PCDFs in harbor seals suggest a rapid metabolism of PCDD/PCDFs and no bioconcentration.

The mean non-ortho coplanar TEQ⁸ for San Francisco Bay seals is 68 ng/g lipid (Table 1), exceeding levels reported for pinnipeds throughout the Northern Hemisphere^{3,6}. The congener order is: PCB126 > PCB77 > PCB169, the same relative order reported in other studies. However, the relative order of non-ortho coplanar residues in white croaker is: PCB77 > PCB126 > PCB169, which is similar to the pattern found in environmental samples, reflecting the relative positions on the food web, again indicating differential metabolism and excretion of selected congeners.

The major PCB congeners found in San Francisco Bay seals are listed in Table 2. The mean ΣPCB blubber residue was 71 ppm lipid. This value is substantially higher than reported for immuno-compromised harbor seals fed Baltic fish, and from wild seals from other regions⁵. Higher chlorinated biphenyls constituted major proportions of total PCBs. All 13 top PCB congeners in the seal samples contain six or more chlorines, which may suggest metabolism of lower chlorinated PCBs and biomagnification of highly chlorinated congeners.

DDE, the prime DDT metabolite, was the major OCP in the seals (Table 2). The large DDE/DDT ratio (56) for this sample population indicates limited recent exposure to the parent compound and contrasts sharply with the DDE/DDT ratio (6.5) found in the white croaker. This finding is supported by low p,p'-DDT (106 ng/g lipid) levels in our sample when compared to mean levels reported for harbor seals eating contaminated Baltic fish⁶. Higher oxychlorane:trans-nonachlor ratios were found in seals (0.65) compared to white croaker (0.12). Additionally, α -HCH was the most abundant of the HCH-isomers in most samples in accordance with other reports of HCHs in pinnipeds^{6,9}, but in contrast to the findings of Tanabe et al.¹⁰ (1982) where the β -HCH isomer was dominant in striped dolphins. In contrast, β -HCH is the most abundant isomer in fish and humans.

PBDE levels in San Francisco Bay harbor seals (Table 2) were comparable to levels reported in pinnipeds from the Baltic and Swedish coasts⁹. Mean levels of PBDE 47 were found to be an order of magnitude greater than either PBDE 99 or PBDE 153. In contrast to PCBs, only lower halogenated PBDE congeners seem to bioconcentrate in the seals.

Conclusions

The levels of PCDDs/PCDFs, PCBs, OCPs and PBDEs found in seals indicated that biota of San Francisco Bay are contaminated. Comparison of levels and patterns of contaminants in seals and fish suggest that bioaccumulation mechanisms at low trophic levels (fish) depend primarily on the physicochemical properties of the pollutants. At high trophic levels (seal), bioaccumulation mechanisms are primarily affected by the metabolic capacity of the animal species.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially funded by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, the SF Foundation, the Marin Community Foundation, Earth Island Institute, SF Bird Observatory, CalPIRG-TOSCO Consent Decree, and supported by the UCB Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Moss Landing Marine Laboratory, the Romberg Tiburon Center for Environmental Studies, California Academy.

Table 1. Major PCDD/Fs and non-ortho PCBs in harbor seals and fish from SF Bay*.

| | Seals (pg/g fat) | | | White Croaker (pg/g wet) |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Adult Seals n=10 | Fetus n=1 | Mother # n=1 | Fillet n=8 composites |
| 2378TCDD | ND | ND | ND | 0.3 (0.04) |
| 12378PCDD | ND | ND | ND | 0.52 (0.04) |
| 123678HxCDD | 11.5 (2.3) | 9.3 | 19 | 0.28 (0.03) |
| OCDD | 13.2 (1.9) | 19 | 22 | 0.3 (0.02) |
| 2378TCDF | 4.55 (0.5) | 4.3 | 5.9 | 2.26 (0.26) |
| 12378PCDF | ND | ND | ND | 0.25 (0.04) |
| 23478PCDF | ND | ND | 3.8 | 0.99 (0.1) |
| PCB 77 | 68.3 (6.2) | 52 | 98 | 158 (29) |
| PCB 126 | 587 (100) | 418 | 585 | 50 (9.9) |
| PCB 169 | 37.2 (8.6) | 13.7 | ND | 3.4 (0.5) |
| TEQ-non-ortho PCBs | 67.9 (10.5) | 42 | 59 | 5.1 (0.1) |
| TEQ-PCDD/Fs | 6.22 (0.8) | 4.4 | 6.7 | 1.3 (0.1) |

*Mean, with Standard Error in parentheses

Mother of fetus, also included in the 10 adults.

ND= Not Detected

Table 2. Major PCBs, OCPs and PBDEs in seal blubber (ng/g, fat)

| Analyte | Min | Max | Median | Mean | SD |
|---------|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| 153/132 | 3043 | 71685 | 13441 | 17486 | 19247 |
| 138 | 1623 | 39427 | 6077 | 10388 | 10845 |
| 180 | 887 | 26882 | 5040 | 7349 | 7198 |
| 182/187 | 558 | 35842 | 4218 | 8359 | 9990 |
| 99/113 | 795 | 11828 | 1644 | 2844 | 3188 |
| 170 | 275 | 9473 | 2138 | 2788 | 2599 |
| 183 | 162 | 10753 | 1905 | 2764 | 3063 |
| 196/203 | 152 | 7885 | 1212 | 1999 | 2275 |
| 194 | 152 | 7168 | 1212 | 1884 | 2082 |

ECOTOXICOLOGY AND POPS

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| 199 | 152 | 7527 | 1279 | 1839 | 2153 |
| 146/161 | 66 | 9319 | 975 | 1723 | 2658 |
| 177 | 67 | 6093 | 707 | 1490 | 1758 |
| 178 | 85 | 3943 | 599 | 1069 | 1150 |
| □PCBs | 9960 | 277500 | 41280 | 71290 | 74840 |
| p,p'-DDE | 2331 | 21147 | 3886 | 5950 | 5665 |
| trans-nonachlor | 161 | 1720 | 275 | 518 | 477 |
| Oxychlorane | 103 | 1254 | 183 | 337 | 337 |
| Mirex | 39 | 538 | 95 | 139 | 148 |
| p,p'-DDT | 32 | 264 | 86 | 106 | 72 |
| □-HCH | 0.1 | 84 | 19 | 29 | 26 |
| Dieldrin | 10 | 42 | 25 | 24 | 10 |
| □-HCH | 0.2 | 78 | 10 | 19 | 25 |
| HCB | 3 | 47 | 15 | 18 | 15 |
| □-HCH | 2 | 30 | 6 | 10 | 9 |
| PBDE 47 | 46 | 6996 | 331 | 1251 | 2041 |
| PBDE 99 | 16 | 301 | 95 | 110 | 82 |
| PDBE 153 | 4 | 968 | 39 | 135 | 281 |

References

1. Kopec A and Harvey. (1995) Moss Landing Marine Laboratories Technical Publication 96-4.
2. Koistinen J., Stenman O., Haahti H., Suonperä M. et al. (1997) *Chemosphere*. 36:1249-1269.
3. Tarasova E., Mamontov A. and Mamontova E. (1997) *Chemosphere*. 34:2419-2427.
4. Reijnders P. (1986) *Nature*. 324:456-467.
5. Lake C., Lake J., Haebler R., et al. (1995) *Arch of Envir Contam and Toxicology* 29:128-134.
6. de Swart R., Ross P., Timmerman H., Hijman W et al. (1995) *Chemosphere* 31:4289-4306.
7. Regional Monitoring Program for Trace Substances. 1997 Annual Report, San Francisco Estuary Institute, Richmond CA.
8. van den Berg M, Birnbaum L, Bosveld A, Brunstrom B, Cook P, Giesy J, Hanberg A, Hasegawa R, Kennedy S, Kubiak T, Larsen J, Van Leeuwen F, Liem A., Nolt C, Peterson R, Poellinger L, Safe S, Schrenk D, Tillitt D, Tysklind M, Younes M, Waern F, Zacharewski T (1998) *Environmental Health Perspectives* 106:775-792.
9. Olsson M., Karlsson B. and Ahnland E. (1994) *The Sci of the Tot Environment* 154:217-227.
10. Tanabe S, Tatsukawa R, Maruyama K, Miyazaki N. (1982) *Agr. Biol. Chem.* 46:1249-1254.