

## PCDD/PCDF FROM MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE INCINERATORS IN ITALY: AN INVENTORY OF AIR EMISSIONS

Giuseppe Pastorelli\*, Riccardo De Lauretis\*\*, Pasquale De Stefanis\*\*\*, Luciano Morselli\*\*\*\*, Giuseppe Viviano\*\*\*\*\*

\* Fondazione Lombardia per l'Ambiente, Foro Bonaparte 12, I-20121 Milano, Italy

\*\* ANPA - Agenzia Nazionale Protezione dell'Ambiente, Via V. Brancati 48, I-00144 Roma, Italy

\*\*\* ENEA, C. R. Casaccia, Via Anguillarese 301, I-00060 S. Maria di Galeria (Roma), Italy

\*\*\*\* Dip. Chim.Ind.Materiali, Università di Bologna, V.le Risorgimento 4, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

\*\*\*\*\* ISS - Istituto Superiore di Sanità, V.le Regina Elena 299, I-00161 Roma, Italy

### Introduction

Incineration of municipal solid waste (MSW) has for about 20 years been considered one of the most important sources of dioxin emissions and pollution. National inventories of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofuran (PCDF) air emissions generally show quite great values of PCDD/F emissions from MSW incineration during the Eighties and a progressive reduction during the Nineties until the first decade of the new century due to the implementation of more advanced air pollution control devices (APCDs).

The U.S. EPA (1997) reports that MSW incinerators are the main PCDD/F sources of PCDD/F with a national annual air emission of 730,4 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> (about 37% of total annual emission). The German UBA (De Stefanis *et al.*, 1997) has estimated a contribution of about 33% (400 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup>) for the years 1989-90 with a reduction under 2 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> (3% of national air emission) for the years 1999-2000. The British HMIP and DoE (Eduljee & Dyke, 1996) have estimated a 1995 national annual air emission of 460-580 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> (about 53-82% of total annual emission), with a reduction for the future to 15 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> (about 4-14% of total annual emission). The Dutch RIVM (Danish EPA, 1997) has estimated a contribution of about 79% (382 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup>) for the year 1991 with a reduction to 2-4 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> (3-7% of national air emission) for the year 2000. Finally, the Danish EPA (1997) has estimated a reduction of national annual air emission from MSW incinerators from 34 to 20 gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup> during the period 1991-1995.

An Italian PCDD/F air emission inventory still lacks, but a careful evaluation of PCDD/F emission factors from seven MSW incinerators located in Regione Lombardia (Martines *et al.*, 1999), where 25% of total MSW incinerated is treated (see Table 1), allows to obtain a reasonable estimation of total annual air emission.

### Materials and Methods

The annual quantity of PCDD/F released to the atmosphere (expressed as gI-TEQ y<sup>-1</sup>) has been calculated multiplying an adequate air emission factor (expressed as µgI-TEQ (tMSW)<sup>-1</sup>) by the weight of MSW annually incinerated (expressed as 10<sup>6</sup> tMSW y<sup>-1</sup>) obtained from ANPA (1999), Beone *et al.* (1998), Morselli (1999) and Motawi (1998). Different emission factors (EFs) for MSW incineration in function of the combustion and APCDs technologies are summarised below (EFs 1, 2 and 5 from Martines *et al.*, 1999; EFs 3 and 4 from U.S. EPA, 1997):

Table 1 - PCDD/F emissions in 1997 from MSW incinerators.

Facility	Combustor (APCDs)	MSW 1997 [tMSW y <sup>-1</sup> ]	EFs [µg t <sup>-1</sup> ]	Emissions [mgI-TEQ y <sup>-1</sup> ]
1. Mergozzo (VB)	MG (SD+CI+FF+WS)	29,244	0.66	19
2. Vercelli	MG (ESP+WS)	41,131	6.80	280
3. Abbiategrasso (MI)	RK (ESP+WS)	12,240	19.90	244
4. Bergamo	MG (ESP+WS)	46,500	6.80	316
5. Busto Arsizio (VA)	MG (DSI+FF)	31,000	1.60	50
6. Como	MG (ESP+WS)	39,560	6.80	269
7. Cremona	MG (SNCR+SD+CI+FF+WS)	3,000	0.59	2
8. Desio (MI)	MG (ESP+WS)	64,678	6.80	440
9. Milano 1	MG (ESP+WS)	86,261	6.80	587
10. Milano 2	MG (ESP+WS)	77,952	6.80	530
11. Valmadrera (LC)	MG (ESP+WS)	77,650	6.80	528
12. Bolzano	MG (FF+WS+SCR)	58,000	0.59	34
13. Padova	MG (DSI+ESP+WS)	34,258	6.80	233
14. Schio (VI)	MG (ESP+WS)	37,000	6.80	252
15. Gorizia	RK (DSI+ESP)	12,400	19.90	247
16. Moraro (GO)	RK (DSI+ESP)	12,400	19.90	247
17. Trieste	RK (ESP+WS)	110,000	19.90	2,189
18. Coriano (RN)	MG (ESP+DSI+CI+FF)	99,200	0.59	59
19. Ferrara 1	MG (ESP+WS)	10,229	6.80	70
20. Ferrara 2	MG (SD+FF+WS)	37,308	0.66	25
21. Forli	MG (ESP+WS)	52,589	6.80	358
22. Granarolo E. (BO)	MG (ESP+WS)	139,209	6.80	947
23. Modena	MG (ESP+WS)	120,000	6.80	816
24. Parma	RK (ESP+WS)	62,000	19.90	1,234
25. Reggio Emilia	MG (ESP+DSI+CI+FF)	45,496	0.59	27
26. Castelnuovo (LU)	MG (DSI+CI+FF)	9,600	0.59	6
27. Greve in Ch. (FI)	RDF-CFB (SD+FF)	33,150	0.66	22
28. Livorno	MG (DSI+FF)	41,400	1.60	66
29. Massa Maritt. (GR)	MG (DSI+FF)	15,500	1.60	25
30. Montale (PT)	RK (ESP+WS)	27,900	19.90	555
31. Pisa	MG (ESP+WS)	63,583	6.80	432
32. Poggibonsi (SI)	MG (DSI+CI+FF)	21,000	0.59	12
33. Pontassieve (FI)	MG (SD+FF)	9,920	0.66	7
34. Tolentino P. (MC)	MG (ESP+WS)	24,800	6.80	169
35. Rende (CS)	MG (ESP+WS)	20,150	6.80	137
36. Messina (ME)	MG (DSI+FF)	18,450	1.60	30
37. Capoterra (CA)	MG (SD+FF)	91,200	0.66	60
38. Macomer (NU)	FB (SD+FF)	43,500	0.66	29
TOTAL		1,759,458		11,548

1. 19.90  $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$  for rotary kiln (RK) incinerators independently from APCDs used (all based on electrostatic precipitators);
2. 6.80  $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$  for moving grate (MG) incinerators equipped with APCDs mainly based on electrostatic precipitators (ESPs) and wet scrubbers (WSs);
3. 1.60  $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$  for moving grate incinerators equipped with APCDs based on dry sorbent injection (DSI) systems and fabric filters (FFs);
4. 0.66  $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$  for moving grate, circulating fluidised bed (CFB) and fluidised bed (FB) incinerators equipped with APCDs mainly based on spray dryers (SDs) and fabric filters;
5. 0,59  $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$  for moving grate (MG) incinerators able to comply with the strict European air emission standard of 0,1  $\text{ngI-TEQ Nm}^{-3}$ , generally equipped with APCDs mainly based on spray dryers (SDs) or dry sorbent injection (DSI) systems combined with activated carbon injection (CI) systems and fabric filters (FFs), eventually with selective non catalytic reduction (SNCR) systems or based on fabric filters (FFs), wet scrubbers (WSs) and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems.

## Results and Discussion

Italian inventory of PCDD/F air emissions has been calculated for three situation:

- "1997 scenario": is the reference year (see Table 1)
- "minimum" scenario: is the future scenario where MSW incineration of unsorted MSW, dry waste (no compostables) and RDF will increase from 7 to 30% (De Stefanis *et al.*, 1998);
- "maximum" scenario: is the future scenario where MSW incineration will increase from 7 to 47% (De Stefanis *et al.*, 1998).

Typical characteristics and combustion properties of unsorted MSW, dry waste and RDF are summarised in Table 2. Lower heating value (LHV), stoichiometric air requirement (SAR) and normalised flue gas factor (FGF) have been calculated using the same equations reported in Martines *et al.* (1999). Results (Table 3) show that, in the future, the use of more advanced combustion technologies and APCDs (able to comply with the European air emission standard of 0,1  $\text{ngI-TEQ Nm}^{-3}$ ) could reduce PCDD/F emissions also considering an increased consistency of incineration in integrated solid waste management.

Table 2 - Typical characteristics and combustion properties of unsorted MSW, dry waste and RDF.

Parameters		Unsorted MSW	Dry waste	RDF
Moisture content (W)	[%]	23.69	25.00	20.00
Inert matter (I)	[%]	23.16	12.50	12.20
C	[%]	28.09	36.81	41.90
H	[%]	3.72	4.81	5.83
O	[%]	21.18	20.69	20.00
S	[%]	0.16	0.19	0.07
LHV	[kcal (kgMSW) <sup>-1</sup> ]	2,258	3,289	4,054
	[kJ (kgMSW) <sup>-1</sup> ]	9,454	13,770	16,973
SAR	[Nm <sup>3</sup> (kgMSW) <sup>-1</sup> ]	2.81	3.91	4.66
FGF*	[Nm <sup>3</sup> (kgMSW) <sup>-1</sup> ]	5.85	8.03	9.49

\* FGFs are corrected at the following conditions: dry gas, 273 K, 101.3 kPa, 11%O<sub>2</sub>

Table 3 - Current and future scenarios of MSW incineration in Italy and corresponding PCDD/F annual emissions.

Parameters		Unsorted MSW	Dry waste	RDF	TOTAL
EF*	[ $\mu\text{gI-TEQ (tMSW)}^{-1}$ ]	0.585	0.803	0.949	-
"1997" scenario	[%]	6.76	0.00	0.02	6.78
	[ $10^6 \text{ tMSW y}^{-1}$ ]	1,73	0.00	0.03	1.76
	[ $\text{mgI-TEQ y}^{-1}$ ]	-	-	-	<b>11,548</b>
"Minimum" scenario	[%]	15.00	11.00	4.00	30.00
	[ $10^6 \text{ tMSW y}^{-1}$ ]	3.89	2.86	1.04	7.79
	[ $\text{mgI-TEQ y}^{-1}$ ]	2,278	2,293	0,985	<b>5,556</b>
"Maximum" scenario	[%]	27.00	14.00	6.00	47.00
	[ $10^6 \text{ tMSW y}^{-1}$ ]	7.01	3.63	1.56	12.20
	[ $\text{mgI-TEQ y}^{-1}$ ]	4,100	2,918	1,478	<b>8,496</b>

\* EFs have been used only for "minimum" and "maximum" scenarios and take into account the compliance with the European air emission standard of  $0,1 \text{ ngI-TEQ Nm}^{-3}$ . Actual EFs could be lower, reflecting air emission concentrations well below  $0,1 \text{ ngI-TEQ Nm}^{-3}$  as experienced in new plants (e.g., Brescia incinerator, in operation since 1998)

### Acknowledgments

The research was carried out as one of the activities of the AIDIC Working Group on Dioxins.

### References

1. ANPA (1999). *Secondo rapporto sui rifiuti urbani e sugli imballaggi e rifiuti di imballaggio*. ANPA, Osservatorio Nazionale sui Rifiuti, Febbraio 1999.
2. Beone, G., Luciani, G., Luciani, M. (1998). *Banca dati termodistruttori italiani*. 2° edizione su web, <http://www.amb.casaccia.enea.it/Tein/moditerm/hometerm.htm>, ENEA - Dipartimento Ambiente, Aprile 1998.
3. Danish EPA (1997). *Dioxins - Sources, Levels and Exposures in Denmark*. Danish Environmental Protection Agency, October 1997.
4. De Stefanis, P. et al. (1998). *La valorizzazione energetica in impianti dedicati*. Documento di indirizzo. Conferenza Nazionale Energia e Ambiente, Roma, 25-28 novembre 1998.
5. Martines, C., Fanelli, R., Pastorelli, G., Pistone, L. (1999). Development of dioxin and furan air emission factors from municipal solid waste incinerators in Regione Lombardia (Italy). Poster at Dioxin '99 International Symposium, Venice (Italy), 12-17 September 1999.
6. Eduljic, G.H., Dyke, P. (1996). An updated inventory of potential PCDD and PCDF emission sources in the UK. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **177**, 303-321.
7. Morselli, L. (1999). LCI applied to municipal solid waste incinerator. A survey of the plants operating in the Emilia Romagna region and correlation with national statistics. In: *Proceedings R'99 Recovery, Recycling, Re-integration*, Geneva, February 2-5, 1999.
8. Motawi, A. (1998). *Impianti di smaltimento: Analisi sui termocombustori RSU*. Federambiente, Roma, novembre 1998.
9. U.S. EPA (1997). *Locating and estimating air emissions from sources of dioxins and furans*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, EPA-454/R-97-003, May 1997.