

Update on Health and Environment Risk Management Activities in Canada for PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs

Andrew P. Gilman

Bioregional Health Effects Programs Division, Health Canada, Room 1108, Main Building,
Tunney's Pasture, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0K9, # 0301 A1

Mark Feeley

Bureau of Chemical Safety, Health Canada, Sir Fredrick Banting Building, Tunney's Pasture,
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0L2, #2204 D1

Sheila Jones

Chemical Evaluation Division, Commercial Chemicals Branch, Environment Canada, 351 St.
Joseph Boulevard, Hull, Québec, Canada, K1A 0H3

Introduction

PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs have been of concern to Canadian regulatory agencies, scientists and public advocacy groups for over 20 years.⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ Since 1975, there has been accumulating evidence that a number of congeners within the three related families can exert a wide range of toxic effects at very low doses in a variety of laboratory species and possibly in human populations. The key questions have focused on dose-response and dose effect, i.e., how much is too much for human beings and what are the critical health end points?

From both a human health perspective and an environmental perspective the Canadian assessments of PCDDs and PCDFs have been clear - these substances pose a threat to the health and well being of the ecosystem and have to be reduced to the lowest possible levels. Based on the best available science reviewed in 1989⁽⁶⁾, Canada concluded that..."human intakes (from all sources) should be below 10 picograms per kilogram of body weight per day of (2,3,7,8- TCDD) toxic equivalents, averaged over a lifetime". This tolerable daily intake (TDI), used as a benchmark for action to address potential public health threats, e.g., the need for fishery closures, health advisories, etc., has largely been referred to as the Canadian 'guideline'. While some may have misinterpreted its use, i.e., a permit to pollute, its purpose, for assessment of risks to public health is clear. The elimination of dioxin generation and release continues to be the Canadian objective.

Scientific studies of the effects of dioxins and dioxin like contaminants have increased dramatically over the last five years. Much of the new research has been recently reviewed by

LEG

the US EPA in their draft Dioxin Reassessment Document.⁷⁾ It is normal and important for guidelines relating to contaminants to be re-examined as new scientific findings are reported. Health Canada is currently reviewing the available scientific evidence concerning dioxins and dioxin-like compounds to determine whether the federal TDI established in 1990 requires reevaluation.

Canadian efforts to control sources

In 1983, Canada developed a dioxin action plan⁸⁾ which identified the sources of these contaminants and ways and means of reducing their generation and release. The major sources of dioxins and furans identified in Canada included municipal and hazardous waste incinerators, effluents from pulp and paper mills, some smelting operations, some chemical processes and products (e.g. contaminants in some pesticides and PCBs) and long-range atmospheric transport from other countries.

Trichlorophenol and 2,4,5-T were deregistered as pest control agents in 1985. At the same time, dioxin and furan content in herbicides such as 2,4-D and in wood treatment chemicals such as penta- and tetrachlorophenol was restricted, domestic production of all these chemicals ceased, and pentachlorophenol's use as a wood protection agent was deregistered. Successive PCB regulations were put in place (in 1977, 1985, 1990 and 1992) to curb manufacturing, new and existing uses, export, destruction and storage. New incinerator technology was developed in the mid-1980's and the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME) issued operating and emission guidelines for dioxins and furans in municipal solid waste and hazardous waste incinerators in 1989. Dioxins and furans were declared "toxic" under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act in 1990 and two years later regulations were passed requiring the virtual elimination of discharges of PCDDs and PCDFs from pulp mills.

Ecosystem levels

As a result of Canadian regulatory and guideline initiatives, dioxin and furan emissions from municipal solid waste and hazardous waste incinerators have decreased by 80 percent. Currently, less than 50 grams of dioxin and furan toxic equivalents are released from municipal solid waste incinerators in Canada per year. PCDD / PCDF emissions from bleached kraft pulp mill effluents have decreased by 97 percent, i.e., from more than 350 grams of TCDD-TEQs in 1989 to less than 9 grams in 1994.

The sum total of the actions taken has led to significant declines in the levels of dioxins and furans in the Canadian environment and in human tissues. PCB and dioxin residues in Lake Ontario Herring Gulls and Salmon also declined from the early 1970's to the mid 1980's, however levels are now relatively constant.⁸⁾ Several shellfish closures and health advisories for recreational and commercial fishing put in place in 1988-1989 because of concern over pulp mill wastes have now been rescinded because of significant declines in fish and shellfish contamination levels in these areas. Cross-Canada surveys indicate that from 1981 to 1992 TCDD-TEQ concentrations of PCDDs / PCDFs and PCBs in breast milk lipid fell 49% (for PCDDs / PCDFs from 28.6 ppt to 14.5 ppt TCDD-TEQ and for PCB from 337 ppb to 173 ppb).⁹⁾

Canada has also taken a lead role in establishing the basis for the development of an international protocol for the control of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) including dioxins, furans and PCBs. International controls will be essential if we are to curb long range transport of these substances into Canada. Long range atmospheric transport is the largest source of dioxins, furans and PCBs to much of the Canadian ecosystem⁸⁾¹³⁾ especially the Arctic and Great Lakes regions, and is likely the key factor preventing further declines in environmental levels and human exposure in Canada.

References

- 1) NRCC(National Research Council of Canada) 1981. Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins: Criteria for their effects on man and his environment. Assoc Comm on Scient Crit for Environ Qual NRCC #18574: 251pp.
- 2) Health and Welfare Canada (1983). Report of the Minister's Expert Advisory Committee. 57 pp.
- 3) Department of Environment (1983). Dioxins in Canada: the Federal Approach. Interdepartmental Committee on Toxic Chemicals. 56 pp.
- 4) NRCC(1984). Polychlorinated dibenzofurans: Criteria for their effects on humans and the environment. Assoc Comm on Science Crit for Environ Qual. NRCC # 22846: 243 pp.
- 5) OME (Ontario Ministry of the Environment) 1985. Scientific Criteria Document for Standard Development No. 4-84: Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs).
- 6) Government of Canada (1990). Priority Substances List Assessment Report. No.1: Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans. Ottawa, Minister of Supply and Services Cat. No. EN 40-215/IE. 56p
- 7) US Environmental Protection Agency (1994). Health Assessment Document for 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) and Related Compounds. Office of Research and Development. Review Draft. Washington. D.C.
- 8) Government of Canada (1991). Toxic Chemicals in the Great Lakes and Associated Effects. Ottawa: Minister of Supply and Services Canada. Cat. No. En. 37-95/1990-1E. Vol 1 & 2. 755p
- 9) Ryan J J (1995). Personal communication.
- 10) Kearney J, Cole DC, Haines D (1995). Draft Report on the Great Lakes Anglers Pilot Exposure Assessment Study. Great Lakes Health Effects Program. Health Canada. 114 p & appendices.
- 11) Newsome H, Davies D, Doucet J (1995). PCB and organochlorine pesticides in Canadian human milk - 1992. Chemosphere (in press)
- 12) Government of Canada (1995). Toxic Substances Management Policy, Ottawa.
- 13) Cohen M, Commoner B, Eisl H, Barelett P, Dickar A, Hill C, Quigley J, Rosenthal J (1995). Quantitative estimation of the entry of dioxins, furans and hexachlorobenzene into the Great Lakes from airborne and waterborne sources. Queens College. New York. 85pp.