## THE PREDICTION OF NMR <sup>13</sup>C SPECTRA OF POLYBROMINATED OXIGENATED BENZENES.

#### Dostovalova V.I.<sup>A</sup>, Fedorov L.A.<sup>B</sup>

<sup>A</sup> A.N.Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vavilov Str., 28, 117813 Russia, Moscow.

<sup>B</sup> V.I.Vernadski Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Kosygin Str., 19, 117975 Russia, Moscow.

#### Introduction

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy is nowdays one of main methods for the structure elucidation of unknown organic compounds. Such problem is of a great importance for ecological chemistry, especially concerning to recognizing of hazardous pollutants. Every big environmental center has a modern NMR spectrometer or even some system of them. NMR spectroscopy is a method of extremely high resolution because NMR signal can to reflect small structure changes. However it is well known that in nowday reality the mean instrument of ecological chemistry is the chromatography. The samples have been cleaned, deeply divided and identificated by comparing with references, which have to be specially synthesized. NMR spectrometers as a rule are used only for identification of model compounds. Contrary to one chromatography singlet requiring his own reference for identification, NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectrum contains a set of signals accordingly to number of unequivalent carbons in the compound, and positions of these signals (chemical shifts) do not depend in general on anything except molecular structure, so the NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectrum is a distinct portret of a molecule. Moreover the chromatography sometimes is a destructing method so e.g. hydroxy substituted compounds need in previous etherification, while NMR can analyse compounds without any transformation.

#### Approach

NMR <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts are very informative physical properties of organic compounds, so it is evidently very important to generalize them in some standard manner to have a possibility of an unambiguous prediction NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectra for every structure and to avoid of a great part of modelling syntheses. Usually it has been doing in form of increment schemes, and the latter are essentially convenient for ecologists which are studying some sets of homologues and isomers. Conventional increment schemes based

# ANA

at an additivity of mono-atomic influences, and such approach is not acceptable for polysubstituted molecules because of complicate steric and mesomeric effects. The introducing of different sets of poly-atomic increments is evidently closed way because of the avalanche-like amount of necessary parameters for real sets of compounds.

During last time we had shown at different examples<sup>1-3</sup> that many-atomic interactions in polysubstituted molecules may be expressed through two-particle increments, and had demonstrated such predictive increment schemes for carbon chemical shifts in polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins<sup>4,5</sup> and polychlorinated polyoxybenzenes<sup>6</sup>. There are next requirements to <sup>13</sup>C NMR increment schemes in polysubstituted compounds:

1) The calculating reference must be universal (e.g. benzene, TMS).

- 2) Many-particle interactions must be expressed through two-particle increments, similarly to atom-atom potentials in molecular mechanics.
- 3) Mixed two-particle increments must be obtained from homogeneous ones. All these positions are extremely important for everyday using of increment scheme for structure recognition of really unknown aromatic compounds by NMR <sup>13</sup>C.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Now we have performed such investigation, based only at published data, for the wide class of polybrominated benzenes with oxy-, methoxy- and phenoxy (one example) substituents. This class of aromatic ecotoxicants is unsufficiently known explored for the present, though it is so important as polychlorinated oxybenzenes because they are precursors of polybrominated dioxins. The traditional investigation of this new class of ecotoxicants will demand the whole set of individual chromatographic references, meanwhile two-particle increment scheme for NMR <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts can considerably simplify an identification of unknown compounds directly in some narrow fractions.

Available data<sup>7a-e</sup> contain experimental values only for <sup>13</sup>C spectra of 11 compounds (43 different chemical shifts), nevertheless we could obtain the increment scheme for <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts predictive enough to reveal some opposit assignments in originals. The latter is an improvement of an acceptability of obtained increments for the description of NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectra for all compounds of this class. However the restricted experimental volume does not allow to obtain good statistic for increments and to discuss the solvents influence.

In two-particle approach the chemical shift of the k-th ring carbon  $x_k$  in polysubstituted benzene may be represented as:

$$C_k = C_0 + sum \{ E_k(i) \} + sum \{ R_k(ij) \}$$

Here  $C_0$  - the benzene chemical shift (128.5 ppm);  $P_k(i)$  - the substituent's increment in X - monosubstituted benzenes;  $R_k(ij)$  - additional effect contribution to the chemical shift  $x_k$  caused with i-th and j-th substituents nonadditivity influence.

In consecutive order there vere used previous results obtained for polybrominated<sup>3</sup> and polyoxygenated<sup>4</sup> benzenes. Calculatings were carried out only for mixed oxy-bromine two-particle increments using the similarity of bromine and chlorine effects in <sup>13</sup>C NMR of organic compounds. So we had chosen for oxy-bromine increments the same form as for oxy-chlorine ones<sup>7</sup> and had found them with help of known method of regularization of multiparameter linear regression. At the end of calculations only 19 nonzero parameters remain valid standard error was 0.87 ppm (Table 1).

The Table 2 demonstrates some examples of new increment scheme's possibilities. Results are in a good agreement with experimental data and allow to entrust to predictive capability of obtained set of increments.

#### REFERENCES

1 Dostovalova VI, Velichko FK, Vasil'eva TT, Kruglova NV, Freidlina RKh. Carbon-13 NMR spectra of polybromoalkanes and polychlorobromoalkanes. Structural increments of halogens in polyhalogenated groups. Org Magn Reson 1981; 16: 251-60.

2 Dostovalova VI, Fedorov LA, Paasivirta J. Prediction of carbon-13 chemical shifts of polysubstituted benzenes with homogeneous substituents. *Magn Reson Chem* 1991; 29: 830-3.

3 Dostovalova VI, Fedorov LA. Application of topological analysis of <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts to the elucidation of electronic and steric features of polyoxygenated benzenes with different substituents. Simulation of <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra. *Magn Reson Chem* 1992; 30:1203-11.

4 Dostovalova VI, Fedorov LA. The simulation of NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectra of polychlorinated dioxins. Short papers of 10th International Meeting on chlorinated dioxins and related compounds. Bayreuth, FRG: 1990;2:125-128.

5 Fedorov LA, Dostovalova VI, Arapov OV, Malov MYu. NMR <sup>13</sup>C spectra of some polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. Abstracts of 11th International Symposium on chlorinated dioxins and related compounds. Research Triangle Park,USA:1991;P176.

6 Dostovalova VI, Fedorov LA, Paasivirta J. The prediction of <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of • polychlorinated aromatic pollutants. *Extended abstracts of 12th International Symposium* on dioxins and related compounds. Tampere, Finland: 1992;8:39-41

7 a) Smith WB, Proulx TW. Org Magn Reson 1976; 8:205-207; b) Bremser W, Hardt A, Ernst L, Franke B, Fachinger W. Carbon-13 NMR spectral data. VCH, Weinheim: 1987;

c) Hutton HM, Kunz KR, Bozek JD, Blackburn BJ. Canad J Chem 1987;65:1316-21;

d) Shaefer T, Penner GH. Canad J Chem 1988;66:1635-40; e) Sopchik AE, Kingsbury CA. JCS Perkin // 1979:1058-63; f) Knuutinen J. Privat communication. Chemical department of Jyvaskyla University, Finland;1992.

## ANA

#### Table 1

÷

Tor some brommated oxybenzenes.									
Substituent position	Solv.	C-1	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	C-6		
1-OH,2-Br [7a] calc.	C6H12	153.3 152.7	110.7 110.5	132.3 131.8	121.7 (121.4)	129.7 128.9	116.6 116.4		
1-OMe,2-Br [7b] calc.	CCI4	155.8 156.2	111.9 111.9	133.1 133.1	121.3 (122.1)	127.9 127.9	111.5 111.5		
1-OH,4-Br [7b] calc. [7c]	CDCl <sub>3</sub> DMSO	153.9 154.9 156.8	117.2 (117.4) 117.6	132.5 (132.0) 132.1	113.2 111.8 110.0				
1-OPh,4-Br [7b] calc.	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	156.5 155.4	120.3 (120.5)	132.5 (132.0)	115.6 114.8				
1-OH,2,6-Br <sub>2</sub> [7b] calc.	CDCI3	149.3 150.5	110.0 110.9	131.9 131.7	122.3 122.7				
1-0Me,2,6-Br <sub>2</sub> [7d] calc.	acet.	154.8 154.8	118.6 119.0	133.6 132.6	127.5 126.3				
1-0H,2,4-Br <sub>2</sub> [7b] calc.	CDCI3	151.5 151.7	110.8 111.1	134.0 134.6	112.7 112.8	132.0 132.4	117.4 117.6		
1-0H,2,4,6-Br <sub>3</sub> [7b] calc.	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	150.5 149.3	112.4 111.4	133.9 134.2	111.3 111.1				
1-OMe,2,4,6-Br <sub>3</sub> [7b] calc.	CDCI3	153.7 153.7	118.9 119.5	134.9 135.1	117.3 117.5				
1-OH,2-OMe,4,5-Br <sub>2</sub> [7e] calc.	CDCi <sub>3</sub>	145.3 145.5	146.3 147.4	115.2 (115.9)	115.0 114.6	113.6 115.3	119.0 (119.2)		
1,2-OMe <sub>2</sub> ,3,4,5,6-Br <sub>4</sub> [7f] cacl.	CDCl <sub>3</sub>	151.9 151.9		122.3 121.3	124.3 125.2				

### The experimental and predicted<sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts (ppm from TMS) for some brominated oxybenzenes.

The values in brackets did not demand mixed O-Br increments

Table 2

#### The mixed OR-Br increments additional to Br and OR ones

The structure element	C <sub>k</sub>	Ү-Н	Y-Me	The structure element	C <sub>k</sub>	Ү-Н	Y-Me
1-0Y,2-Br	C <sub>1</sub>	-6.70	-6.70	1-0Y,4-Br	C <sub>1</sub>	0.18	0.18
	C <sub>2</sub>	0.55	3.50		C <sub>2</sub>	-2.10	-2.10
	C <sub>3</sub>	-0.25	1.10	1-0Y,2,6-Br <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	-5.64	-5.64
	C <sub>5</sub>	1.55	0.60		C <sub>2</sub>	0.40	10.00
	C <sub>6</sub>	-1.00	-4.40		C3	0.85	1.77
					C4	0.14	2.98