FORM

Destruction of Organic Compounds on Fly Ash: A Kinetic Study Using TOC as Indicator Parameter

Collina, £., Lasagni, M., Tettamanti, M., Mapelli, £., Forni, L., and Pitea, D. Dip. Chimica Fisica ed Elettrochimica, via Golgi 19, 20133 Milano - ITALY

1. Introduction

 \mathbf{I}

Since the detection of PCDDs and PCDFs in the emissions of municipal solid waste incinerators, the formation and destruction reactions of these compounds have been intensively studied'. It seems that especially the cold zones of the incineration plants are involved in this kind of reactions. The kinetic study of PCDDs' and PCDFs' formation and destruction reactions requires a big analytical effort, as there are 75 PCDD and 135 PCDF isomers, and several experimental data are needed to compute the kinetic parameters. Moreover, apart from PCDDs and PCDFs, a great number of organic compounds is present on fly ash and their qualitative and quantitative determination is a very difficult task.

In the present study we report the results of batch experiments on the combustion rate of organic compounds at different temperatures. The reagents' concentrations are measured as Total Organic Carbon (TOC), which is a sum-parameter. This is possible as we recently set up a methodology for a quick determination of TOC directly on the solid matrix². Experiments are performed on fly ash from MSW incinerators and synthetic mixtures of pure compounds on silica gel as a model support. For cost reasons, for the preliminary studies we chose the unchlorinated parent compounds of PCDFs and PCBs, dibenzofuran and biphenyl, together with activated carbon.

2. Experimental and results

Silica gel (Merck, grade 60, 230-400 Mesh ASTM), dibenzofuran and biphenyl (Janssen, purity $99 + %$ and $99%$ respectively) are used without treatment.

Total organic carbon is measured using a Dorhmann instrument assembled with the Standard Module, DC-90, the Purgeable Organics Module, PRG-1, and the Sludge Sediment Sampler Accessory, S/SS. Details of the analytical method are already reported^{2,3}.

Fly ash was collected from the hopper of the ESP of a modern waste incinerator and used for the experiments without further treatment.

The synthetic mixtures with known weighting fractions are prepared by mixing the organic compound directly with the model support. The mixture is homogenized using a ball mill (Retsch, Model S1) operating with five balls (3 with $\phi = 10$ mm and 2 with ϕ $= 20$ mm) at 80 rpm for 25 min.

The thermal treatment of the samples is performed in a closed muffle furnace, internal

FORM

volume 9 1, temperature determined to ±5°C, with oxygen being present in great excess. The results of the tests performed on fly ash and synthetic mixtures are reported in Tables 1-3 as the variation of TOC as a function of time at different temperatures.

Table 1. Oxidation of fly ash: TOC (ppm) - time data at different T. At $t=0$, TOC = 2230 ppm.

Table 2. Oxidation of mixtures of dibenzofuran (DBF) and biphenyl (BPh) with silica: TOC (ppm) - time data at different T.

At t = 0, TOC_{DRF} = 2030 ppm, TOC_{RPh} = 2030 ppm

3. Discussion

The interpretation of the experimental data for fly ash is quite difficult, as the plot of TOC vs time at a given temperature isn't of immediate comprehension.

Therefore the oxidation of mixtures of pure organic compounds with silica as model support is studied to help in finding a reasonable interpretation for the fly ash behavior. The actual results show that the oxidation reactions of dibenzofuran, DBF, biphenyl, BPh, and carbon are pseudo first order reactions. The rate constants (min-1) are shown in Figure la-b, as Arrhenius plots.

Table 3. Oxidation of mixtures of activated carbon with silica: TOC (ppm) - time data at different T. At $t = 0$, TOC = 1800 ppm

The DBF and BPh reactivity is almost the same. In any case, two mechanisms seem to work. According to the calculated apparent activation energies (Table 4), at lower temperatures a surface reaction, i.e. the chemical step, seems to be the rate-limiting one whereas at higher temperatures the reaction seems to be diffusion controlled.

Bearing in mind that TOC is a sum-parameter, *i.e.* every organic compound present on fly ash contributes to its value, these results on pure compounds suggest that the plot of TOC vs time for fly ash may be interpreted as the sum of first order curves.

To test this hypothesis, the oxidation reaction of a dibenzofuran-biphenyl mixture on silica is performed at different temperatures. The deconvolution of the TOC vs time curves leads to a sum of two exponentials. The parameters of the two exponentials are in a very good agreement with the kinetic parameters obtained for the pure compounds on silica. Results are not reported here.

The procedure is successfully applied to the fly ash curves. Also in these cases, a good fitting is achieved with two exponentials for every temperature. The initial concentrations and the pseudo first order rate constants for two unknown reactions (Rl and R2) are obtained. Arrhenius plots for both constants are reported in Figure Ic, showing a fairly good linear correlation. Apparent activation energies for the two pseudo-first order reactions (Table 4) are of the same order of magnitude as those for pure compounds in the temperature range where diffusion is the rate-limiting step.

4. Conclusions

Experiments carried out show that TOC is a good and quick parameter for the measure of carbon content variations on fly ash during the reaction with oxygen. It has been demonstrated³ that the inorganic carbon content of fly ash is lower than 5% of the total carbon present. The observed carbon content variations are therefore TOC variations.

On the basis of the kinetic parameters obtained for pure compounds, fly ash reactions seem to be diffusion controlled. For a deeper understanding of the mechanism, runs on a continuous flow apparatus will be performed.

FORM

Table 4. Activation parameters: ΔE^{\ddagger} (cal mol⁻¹) and ln A.

Figure 1. Arrhenius plots for: (a) DBF, BPh; (b) carbon; (c) fly ash.

5. References

1 For a short review of general references, see: Lasagni M, Moro G, Pitea G, Stiegiitz L. Chemosphere 1991;23:1245-53.

2 Lasagni M, Cosentino U, Moro G, Pitea D. In: Bonati L, Cosentino U, Lasagni M, Moro G, Pitea D, Schiraldi A, eds. Trends in Ecological Physical Chemistry. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1993:253-74.

3 Lasagni M, Collina E, Tettamanti M, Ferri M, Pitea D. Direct Analysis of TOC on Fly Ash: Refinement of the Methodology. Poster presented at Dioxin '93, Vienna.