The formation of coplanar PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs in a fly-ash model system.

### Mirjam H. Schoonenboom, Peter C. Tromp and Kees Olie.

Department of Environmental and Toxicological Chemistry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

### INTRODUCTION

PCDDs and PCDFs are trace compounds formed during the incineration of municipal waste<sup>1</sup>. Recently coplanar PCBs were also detected in the fly ash and flue gas of a municipal waste incinerator<sup>2,3</sup>. For PCDDs and PCDFs two main formation routes have been postulated, de novo synthesis from particulate organic carbon and formation from chlorinated precursors<sup>4</sup>. In this paper we present a method for simultaneous determination of PCDDs, PCDFs as well as coplanar PCBs. We also present the results of a study of the de novo synthesis of these compounds.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

### Extraction, clean up and GC/MS analysis

Coplanar PCBs and PCDD/Fs can not be quantified in the same sample by GC-MSD due to an overlap in masses and retention times. We have modified the standard procedure for extraction and clean up of PCDDs and PCDFs<sup>5</sup> to separate the coplanar PCBs from the PCDDs and PCDFs.

Besides a solution of <sup>13</sup>C labeled PCDDs and PCDFs, 200  $\mu$ l of a solution of three <sup>13</sup>C labeled coplanar PCBs (3,3',4,4', -, 3,3',4,4',5- and 3,3',4,4',5,5'-PCB) was added as internal standard. After soxhlet extraction, the extract was concentrated to a few milliliters and brought onto a column (i.d. = 10 mm), filled -from top to bottom- with 2 cm 22% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> on silica, 9 cm 44% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> on silica, 1 cm silica, 8 cm 33% 1N NaOH on silica and 2 cm AgNO<sub>3</sub> on silica. The column was eluted with 50 ml hexane and the hexane was evaporated.

The coplanar PCBs are separated from the non-planar PCBs with activated carbon: the PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs were dissolved in 20 ml dichloromethane. This was brought onto a column (i.d.= 1 cm) filled with activated carbon, wich was then eluted with refluxing dichloromethane for 1 hour. The dichloromethane now contains the non-planar PCBs. The column was turned upside down and eluted for 24 hours with toluene. The toluene fraction was concentrated to a few milliliters and brought onto a column (i.d. = 6 mm) filled with 4.5 g Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, to separate the P<sub>5</sub>CB and the H<sub>6</sub>CB from the PCDDs and PCDFs. The column was eluted with 150 ml 10% CCl<sub>4</sub> in hexane. This fraction contains

the  $P_5CB$ ,  $H_6CB$  and some  $T_4CB$ . Afterwards the column was eluted with 30 ml dichloromethane, wich contains the  $T_4CB$ , PCDDs and PCDFs. The dichloromethane fraction was evaporated to dryness.

A HPLC is used for the separation of  $T_4CB$  from the PCDDs and PCDFs: the PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs from the dichloromethane fraction of the aluminum oxide column were dissolved in 50  $\mu$ l CHCl<sub>3</sub> and injected into a HPLC. The first fraction contains the  $T_4CB$ , the second fraction contains the PCDDs and PCDFs exept the  $O_8CDF$  wich is in the third fraction. This has been described before<sup>5</sup>.

The PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs were identified and quantified with GC-MSD. The PCBs and  $O_8$ CDF on a J & W DB-5 column, the other PCDDs and PCDFs on a Supelco SP 2331. This has also been described before<sup>5</sup>.

#### De novo synthesis

Alumina was impregnated with 5.4% KCl, 0.83% CuCl<sub>2</sub> and 5.4% activated carbon, and heated at different temperatures (200°C, 250°C, 300°C, 350°C, 400°C) in a glass tube under a flow of 50 ml/min technical air for 2 hours, as described before<sup>5</sup>. Each experiment was performed three times.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In four blank experiments (extraction and clean up of <sup>13</sup>C labeled PCDDs, PCDFs and coplanar PCBs) only trace amounts of  $O_8$ CDD,  $O_8$ CDF and  $T_4$ CB were found (439, 94 and < 28 pg/g respectively).

In table 2 the amounts of PCDDs, PCDFs and coplanar PCBs during de novo synthesis at 300°C, 350°C and 400°C are given. At 200°C and 250°C only trace amounts of a few PCB, PCDD and PCDF congeners could be detected. The  $3,3',4,4',5-P_5CB$  and the  $3,3',4,4',5,5'-H_6CB$  were not detected. The formation of PCDDs and PCDFs reaches maximum around 350°C. This is in agreement with the results of Addink<sup>6</sup> and Stieglitz<sup>7</sup>. Ross<sup>8</sup> and Vogg<sup>9</sup> both found an optimum reaction temperature of 300°C. Differences in reaction conditions could explain the various optimum temperatures found.  $3,3',4,4'-T_4CB$  has a maximum formation at the same temperature region as the PCDDs and PCDFs. Therefore we expect those compounds will be formed by similar reactions.

The T<sub>4</sub>CB/T<sub>4</sub>CDF ratio is lower at 350°C than at 300°C and 400°C. Values found at 300°C and 400°C do not differ significantly. As formation reaches maximum at 350°C, the lowest ratio is found at the highest absolute concentrations of PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs. The same seems to be true for the T<sub>4</sub>CB/T<sub>4</sub>CDD ratio, but here the differences are not significant.

The ratio between PCDDs and PCDFs decreases slightly with increasing temperature. Addink<sup>6</sup> found a decrease in PCDD/PCDF ratio going from 250°C to 300°C, but with the results of Vogg<sup>9</sup> we found no correlation between the temperature and the PCDD/PCDF ratio.

Table 2 Amount of PCDDs, PCDFs and coplanar PCBs formed at different reaction
temperatures (ng/g). nd = not detected, detection limit $T_4CDD = 5.2$ , $P_5CDD = 11$ ,
$H_{s}CDD = 19, H_{2}CDD = 21, O_{s}CDD = 52, T_{4}CDF = 6.2, P_{5}CDF = 11, H_{s}CDF = 13,$
$H_7CDF = 17$ , $O_8CDF = 38$ , $T_4CB = 26$ , $P_5CB = 60$ , $H_8CB = 15 \text{ pg/g}$ .

	300°C		350°C		400°C	
		STD		STD		STD
T,CDD	0.061	*	0.11	0.06	nd	
P <sub>5</sub> CDD	nd		0.8	0.3	nd	
H <sub>6</sub> CDD	nd		2.3	1.1	0.08	0.02
H,CDD	0.101	0.013	2.8	1.5	0.52	0.16
0 <sub>8</sub> CDD	nd		5	3	1.6	0.6
total PCDD	0.52	0.03	11	6	2.2	0.8
T <sub>4</sub> CDF	0.9	0.3	11	3	1.0	0.2
P <sub>5</sub> CDF	0.5	0.2	17	9	2.1	0.8
H <sub>6</sub> CDF	0.32	0.03	27	•	3.9	1.2
H,CDF	0.24	0.04	15	9	5.6	1.0
0,CDF	0.536	0.019	14	8	4.5	1.4
total PCDF	2.5	0.5	74	33	17	4
PCDD+PCDF	3.1	0.5	85	39	19	5
3,3',4,4'-T <sub>4</sub> CB	0.094	0.017	0.15	0.08	0.072	•
T <sub>4</sub> CB/T <sub>4</sub> CDD	1.7	0.6	1.56	0.93		
T <sub>4</sub> CB/T <sub>4</sub> CDF	0.11	0.03	0.015	0.005	0.06	
PCDD/PCDF	0.21	0.03	0.15	0.05	0.124	0.015

\* n=2, range = 0.023 ng/g, \* n=2, range = 11 ng/g, \* n=1.

With increasing temperature, more higher chlorinated PCDF congeners are formed. This tendency is also seen with the PCDDs, but less clear. At 350°C and 400°C most octa chlorinated dioxin is formed. For dibenzofurans the hexa and hepta congeners are formed most and for the PCBs only the tetrachlorinated congener is formed. So there is a shift towards lower chlorinated congeners with decreasing internal oxygen. This suggests an

electrophilic aromatic substitution because oxygen is activating the aromatic ring towards electrophilic substitution.

#### <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Coplanar PCBs are formed from particulate organic carbon in a fly ash model system, via so-called de-novo synthesis.

The formation of PCDDs, PCDFs as well as coplanar PCBs reaches maximum around 350°C.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Pieter C. Slot and Martin J.M. van Velzen for performing the GC/MS analysis.

#### REFERENCES

1 Olie K, Vermeulen PL and Hutzinger O. Chlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and chlorodibenzofurans are trace components of fly ash and flue gas of some municipal incinerators in the Netherlands. *Chemosphere* 1977;6:455-459.

2 Bavel B van, Fängmark I, Marklund S, Söderström G, Ljung K, Rappe C. Quantitative analysis of organic trace compounds by isotope dilution: formation of the non-ortho PCBs 77, 126, 169 during incineration. Extended abstracts, DIOXIN'92 1992;8:225-228.

3 Sakai S, Hiraoka M, Takeda N, Shiozaki K. Coplanar PCBs and PCDDs/PCDFs in municipal waste incineration. Extended abstracts, DIOXIN'92 1992;9:215-218.

4 Dickson LC, Lenoir D and Hutzinger O. Quantitative comparison of de novo and precursor formation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins under simulated municipal solid waste incinerator postcombustion conditions. *Environ Sci Technol* 1992;26:1822-1828.

5 Schoonenboom MH, Smit PN and Olie K. Alumina as a model support in the formation and dechlorination of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans. *Chemosphere* 1992;25:1897-1907.

6 Addink R, Drijver DJ and Olie K. Formation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins/dibenzofurans in the carbon/fly ash system. *Chemosphere* 1991;23:1205-1211.

7 Stieglitz L, Vogg H, Zwick G, Beck J and Bautz H. On formation conditions of organohalogen compounds from particulate carbon of fly ash. *Chemosphere* 1991;23:1255-1264.

8 Ross BJ. Effect of temperature, carrier gas and precursor structure on PCDD and PCDF formed from precursors by catalytic activity of MSW incinerator fly ash. *Chemosphere* 1989;19:291-298.

9 Vogg H, Metzger M and Stieglitz L. Recent findings on the formation and decomposition of PCDD/PCDF in municipal solid waste incineration. *Waste Manag Res* 1987;5:285-294.